

04-03-2018

A Benghazi Murder & RICO "Probable Cause" Editorial Allegation Against Obama and the Clintons'

Retired Police Detective writes a probable cause Writ of RICO and Murder allegations against Barack Obama, William Jefferson Clinton and Hillary Clinton committed as Government Employees. He sites names, places, and acts that could support a motion for a Grand Jury Investigation .

We at Alpha News|Codefore Publishing have been following the Clinton's activities for several years. We have been posting about them on this blog for several years and posted and continue to post constantly on social media. We sort of gave up on the possibility that an investigation into, what we believe to be, the Clinton's criminal 'enterprise' , as described in the Federal Racketeering Statute known as RICO, would ever be realized. Until now that is.

<http://codeforeblog.com/gray-money-slick-willy-clinton-got-name/>

<http://codeforeblog.com/john-podesta-how-to-wash-millions-get-hillary-clinton-elected-and-get-away-with-it/>

<http://codeforeblog.com/the-clinton-family-foundation-money-laundering-history-philosophy/>

<http://codeforeblog.com/obama-and-clinton-pay-their-debt-to-citibank-gun-control/>

It's one thing to say that the Clintons' have committed crimes and should be put in prison but are these the words of someone who is ignorant of the law and really doesn't know if the accusations are substantiated or not, or can the allegations be backed up with Probable Cause"? If you have viewed the video or read the book 'Clinton Cash' you will see that this Codefore writing, although independently investigated by Codefore, follows a similar path as the book and Video, but 'Clinton Cash' doesn't get into some of the details that we have published ,doesn't involve Barrack Obama and falls short of calling for the arrest of the Clinton's...

The contents of this document, on the other hand should be sufficient to ask for a Grand Jury Investigation and, when appropriate, immediate arrest because the intent is to establish 'probable cause'.

We now believe that things have changed. Up until Trump was elected, the Clintons' controlled who gets investigated, who gets prosecuted and who goes to jail, via their network of political hacks and political appointments and if you have not been paying attention, it has not been the Clintons' who went to jail.. But even if there is a Grand Jury or special prosecutor investigating them it will not be an easy path. We know, from years of looking into the Clinton activities, that there just has never been any hard evidence, and most, if not all, crucial witnesses against them are dead. This document does not get into the Hillary Clinton email quandary except that a few of the emails provided evidence of collusion and corruption surrounding Benghazi.

In the real world of criminal law, hard evidence is not necessary for a prosecution and guilty verdict. There is circumstantial evidence also and although it's not the preferred way to get a guilty verdict, a guilty verdict has been attained with overwhelming circumstantial evidence.. What we came up with when looking into the crimes relevant to the Clintons alleged crimes was circumstantial evidence. Hillary and Bill Clinton are both attorneys and they know how to isolate themselves from a direct connection to criminal activity. This type of behavior is exactly why the Federal RICO Statute was created.

To make it simple to understand circumstantial evidence, If you see rabbit tracks leading to his hole, the tracks are circumstantial evidence that a rabbit went into his hole. If there is a video of him going into the hole, that is hard evidence that he went into the hole.

This is the situation with the Clintons. Their crimes are simple thug, mafia type crimes and their motivations are all about stealing money. Their method of operation is to steal money, funnel it to one or more entities and then take possession of the money in a way that no-one can prove exactly where the money originated from or sometimes exactly where it went.. We all know the money trail leads directly to them. We all know that the disappearance of the money also leads to them but we just can't definitively prove they have or took the money. It's also likely that other crimes, such as the murder or death of witnesses is an apple from the same tree. From time to time the Clintons' have made mistakes and soon after the mistake is uncovered, there is frequently a death.

At some point in time the Clintons' realized that if, as Governor, they could steal 60 million dollars from the citizens of Arkansas, imagine what they could do as President of the United States. Their analogy was correct. But they also realized that they had to create the aura of honesty, integrity, and character if they were to succeed. And to this day they continue to perform their masquerade. They know that their house of cards could crumble if just one card failed.

Prior to Hillary Clinton's the loss of the 2016 Presidential election, The Clinton's and Obama's covert illegal activities were becoming less covert, and began to be performed with openness and immunity. They were confident that Hillary would win her second bid for President and their escapades became lax and easier to see. They (HC-POTUS & deep state) also seemed confident that they were untouchable.

As mentioned, a guilty verdict in a criminal court of law doesn't require hard evidence but to arrest and prosecute a suspect, the courts require something called 'probable cause.' Without 'PC' there cannot be an arrest. And there should not be a prosecution. What is 'probable cause'?

'Probable cause to arrest, search, or seize property exists when facts and circumstances known to the police would lead a reasonable person to believe: that the person to be arrested has committed a crime; ... that property to be seized is contraband, stolen, or constitutes evidence of a crime..'

This is the standard that Judges in the United States use to determine whether or not an arrest warrant or search warrant will be issued. The difficult subjective issue the Judges must decide is, "what would a reasonable person think?" What exactly does 'reasonable' mean?

a: being in accordance with reason a reasonable theory

b : not extreme or excessive reasonable requests

c : moderate, fair a reasonable chance a reasonable price

d : inexpensive

e : having the faculty of reason

f : possessing sound judgment a reasonable man

Webster's Dictionary

As you can see, 'reasonable' is subjective and open to opinion.

Without going all the way back to the Clinton Arkansas days, where it appears they first began committing crimes we will only demonstrate an allegation of probable cause that the Clinton's are and have been committing crimes against the United States and against private citizens by violating the RICO Act beginning with Bill Clinton in the white house and culminating with the murder of John Christopher Stevens and three other US Citizens in Benghazi. When establishing establishing 'probable cause' its sometimes necessary to demonstrate a pattern of criminal behavior. We begin with their activities in the Congo and culminate with Haiti. But the Benghazi incident is the main incident. Why the Benghazi incident? Because it's probably the most difficult to prove of all of their crimes and its one of the most recent and also implicates former President Barrack Obama. Most important is that the murder of Christopher Stevens and others in Benghazi implicates both Hillary Clinton and Barrack Obama. There are however unanswered questions that could only be discovered by a Grand Jury.

Please realize that at this time Bill Clinton's direct culpability in the Benghazi incident is questionable but he is certainly involved in the other criminal activities and rest assured if you agree that there is enough 'probable cause' when you have completed reading this document, then you must also agree that William Jefferson Clinton as a culpable person would not be excluded from the allegations. Grand Juries have no investigative restrictions.

BACKGROUND

We shall begin with the background of the Clintons methods to commit crimes that resulted in massive amounts of US Dollars ending up in their possession. Background is important when establishing probable cause. A background description can illustrate patterns of behavior. It's the same as habits verses isolated behavior. Why is this important? Isolated one time incidents can be explained as an accident, or mistake. In the case of an accident or mistake there is no

intent to commit a crime. Conversely repeated similar behavior can be considered as deliberate and even planned. Similar repeated behavior indicates intent and is, in many cases, a required element of a crime. So in some cases the law says that if you commit a crime you must have intended to commit the crime or you must have planned to commit the crime. If you did not intend to commit the crime, it is presumed to be a mistake or an accident. In most cases it's not against the law to make a mistake.

In order to describe relative criminal behavior, one must refer to a relative crime. In this case we refer to the Federal Crime of **MURDER** and the Federal Crime of **RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS (RICO)**

In the year 1993 William Clinton became President and served until the year 2001. During his tenure the US Congress impeached him for lying during a Congressional Hearing. Despite this he was re-elected for a second term.

In the year of 2009 Barack Obama became president of the United States and served two terms until 2016.

Barack Obama's bid to be president was hindered by Hillary Clinton's candidacy. . Both were running as Democrats and neither had any significant opposition except each other. Hillary was initially leading Obama in most polls especially with women, until placing third place in the Iowa Caucus behind Obama and John Edwards. She never recovered her lead.

Suddenly on June 7, 2008, lacking in sufficient delegates, she announced her defeat and endorsed Barack Obama over John Edwards. Obama went on to become President in January of 2009 and immediately appointed Hillary Clinton as his Secretary of State. Obama would later endorse her for president of the United States against Donald Trump.

It would be logical to assume that Hillary made a deal with Barack. Its likely that Hillary and Bill realized that they could do almost as well financially or possibly even better with Hillary as Secretary of State under Obama and her tenure as Secretary of State would assist her should she decide to run again eight years later. This union of minds or contract if you will, between Hillary and Barack is important in itself in establishing probable cause to implicate Barack Obama in Hillary's criminal activity. It's a requirement under the RICO Act.

It's evident that Barack Obama knew of the Clinton's past history of allegations of criminal behavior and specifically their method of behavior relating to tax free Foundations (The Clinton Foundation) because before he appointed her Secretary of State he required the Hillary Clinton Campaign (Valery Jarrett) to sign an agreement (Memorandum of Understanding) that the Clintons' would not involve in any way the Clinton Foundation with the business of Secretary of State .Neither Bill nor Hillary nor Obama himself signed this agreement. Obama was apparently trying to isolate himself from the Clintons' personal activities and their foundation. ut wait, following a Slow grumbling by the media, Hillary Clinton issued a personal promise not to entangle the Clinton's personal interests with the State Department. According to the Washington Post Clinton said this:

1...that has a direct and predictable effect on my financial interests or those of any person whose interests are imputed to me."

2"...that has a direct and predictable effect upon this foundation."

3"...involving specific parties in which The William J. Clinton Foundation (or The Clinton Global Initiative) is a party or represents a party."

4"...that has a direct and predictable effect upon [Bill Clinton's] compensation from persons or entities that pay him."

5"...involving specific parties in which a client of my spouse is a party or represents a party."

She also said she would recuse herself "on a case by case basis in any particular matter in which, in my judgment, I determine that a reasonable person with knowledge of the relevant facts would question my impartiality," unless she had authorization to participate.

It' probable that he thought this agreement would insulate him from future scrutiny because of Hillary's activities. It's also possible that this agreement would do just the opposite.

This formal agreement signed by Hillary Clinton may not have accomplished what Obama intended. Conversely it may cause to believe that he knew of her and her husband's methods and behavior and by subsequently appointing her as Secretary of State he intended to enable her to continue their criminal enterprise ('group of individuals') methods of criminal activity. Hindsight indicates that Obama not only knew but participated in these activities by providing them with legal impunity. History tells us that the Clintons' treated the written and signed agreement to not involve the Clinton Foundation with the State Department as a piece of paper with little meaning or consequences.

Clinton Cash revealed five hidden foreign donations. On the heels of the book's publication, the *Washington Post* uncovered another 1,100 foreign donor names hidden in the Canada-based Clinton Giustra Enterprise Partnership—a Clinton Foundation initiative Bill Clinton erected with controversial billionaire mining executive Frank Giustra.

This is also circumstantial evidence that there was a 'conspiracy' between Obama and Hillary Clinton that was outside of their government responsibilities..

Hillary wasted no time in using her position as Secretary of State to make official decisions that benefitted her associates who responded in kind with hefty donations to the Clinton Foundation or more directly, paying hefty fees to Bill Clinton for speaking engagements. This activity might not have been in itself uncommon had not the profound payments to Bill and donations to the Clinton Foundation been relatively timely either before or after Hillary's official actions that benefitted the donators. Obama' silence as President while the Clintons conducted their business speaks to collusion.

This turned out to be a continuation of a pattern of selling favors in return for cash committed by Bill & Hillary Clinton that began in Arkansas. {https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7LYRUOd_QoM}

In 2007, the same year she assumed the Secretary of State position; Hillary Clinton reversed the United States condemnation of Rebels in the Congo and supported them on behalf of the United States. What's in it for Clinton? It seems that along with the Rebels assumption of power in the Congo (thanks to the support of the United States) came mining rights to minerals. (diamonds and oil) The State Department would assist the Rebels (Laurent Kabila) resulting in propelling the Congo rebels into power by endorsing their efforts in return for making the mineral rights available to private enterprises. It's no accident that Kabila ended up as head honcho in the Congo. It was then President Clinton's Ambassador Daniel Simpson who arranged the meeting between Prime Minister General Likulio Bolongo and Kabila ahead of peace talks between the government in Kinshasa and the rebels. President Clinton wanted to insure that Kabila would assume power in the Congo.

{<http://www.aparchive.com/metadata/youtube/560e211228d5d9cf12371ca417b42663>}

"Kabila came onto the scene about two weeks after the fighting started in October. In the past he was a youth leader of the independence political party of Lumumba, participated in the 1963-64 uprising in what is now called Kisangani, and fought with Cuban revolutionary leader Ernesto Che Guevara in 1965. From 1964 to early 1980, Kabila headed the People's Revolutionary Party, and ruled an enclave in far eastern Zaire's Kivu province. From the 1980s until the rebellion, Kabila lived in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, running a thriving business selling gold mined from eastern Zaire. He owned another home in Uganda."

"The Clinton Administration worked to create certificates of origin-based international diamond trade regime, but sought to ensure that such efforts would not negatively affect the legitimate industry. It also backed marketing reforms and regulatory capacity building in diamond-rich African countries, consulted with the diamond industry, pushed for U.N. sanctions to end the conflict diamond trade, and created an inter-agency group on conflict diamonds. The Bush Administration has pursued policies that broadly mirror those of its predecessor. {The CRS Report.} Link {<https://www.everycrsreport.com/reports/RL30751.html>}

It was later discovered via Secretary of State Hillary Clintons emails that Kabila paid President Clinton personally in excess of \$650,000 as a onetime speaking fee. Link. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/richardminiter/2016/04/17/why-did-congo-offer-clinton-650000-for-two-pics-and-a-speech/#2555fff22347>

During this time there were international cries for stopping the Diamond mining in the Congo using slavery. President Clinton, like it or not, was thrust into the middle. Bill Clinton made it appear as if he was championing the push for the end of human suffering during the diamond mining process despite the fact that he supported a man like Kabila with a extensive history of violating human rights as the new leader of the Congo.

But there were some who complained that Clinton was all talk and no action.

Criticisms of Clinton Administration Policy. Those who criticized Clinton Administration policy on conflict diamonds generally charged that it had been too slow to implement measures to curtail the conflict diamond trade, which many critics saw as a pressing and immediate problem. In a statement before the House International Relations Committee Subcommittee on Africa during a May 9, 2000 hearing entitled Africa's Diamonds: Precious, Perilous Too?, Representative Wolf stated that "while the West lets the problem of conflict diamonds fester, conditions where this illicit trade occurs, continue to worsen. ... I have written to the Administration several times about the problems in Sierra Leone and about the issue of conflict diamonds. ... To date, the Administration has done little or nothing on any of these recommendations {CRS Report}

The stage was set for Obama and Hillary Clinton.. Bill Clinton had insured that Kabila was in charge of the Congo and that the State Department was in charge of issuing certificates of 'clean diamonds' {A certificate that stated imported diamonds did not come from the Congo} and Obama had appointed Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State.

Now comes another pattern, one of many, of Clinton activity. It was necessary to receive payment for services without actually touching the money.

A Swedish mining and oil company, the Lundin Group based in Vancouver Canada arrived in the mix. This is how the 'blood diamond' money laundering worked in 2007. The Lundin Group paid another Clinton Foundation, the 'Clinton Giustra Sustainable Growth Initiative'" 100 million dollars near the time that as Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton endorsed the brutal Congo Congolese Marxist warlord Laurent Kabila, and in concert the Lundin Group made the first down payment of 50 million dollars to Kabila and the remaining 250 million when they (Lundin) received mining rights. Link

<http://dailycaller.com/2016/05/24/exclusive-clinton-foundation-got-100m-from-blood-minerals-firm/>

"It wasn't the first time Clinton consorted with mining moguls. In the waning hours of his presidency in 2001, Bill Clinton pardoned Glencore International mining and oil magnate Marc Rich after his wife, Denise, made generous donations to the Democratic Party, Hillary Clinton's Senate campaign and his Clinton Library"

"Clinton's pardon erased a 65-count indictment against Rich for trading with Iran against the oil embargo. Rich did the Iranian oil sales while Americans were held captive in the country by the Mullahs. "

"In the same year the Clinton Foundation accepted Lundin's money, Swedwatch, a Swedish non-governmental organization that tracks Swedish business dealings in the developing world, released a condemnatory report about the company's operations in Congo, titled "Risky Business." (Daily Caller)

Many similar situations involving the Clintons' political "pay for play" activities occurred during the Presidency of Bill Clinton and continued after Hillary Clinton was appointed Obama's Secretary of State.

The criminal pattern of favors for money has been established.

Obama and the Clintons had been successful in getting involved with the overthrow of a foreign government and made hundreds of millions of dollars in the process by making a deal with the insurgents doing the overthrowing. It mattered not that Laurent Kabila was committing international human right atrocities in the Congo. And Congress in their infinite wisdom went along with it. Likely it wasn't about Congress's wisdom at all.

Fast forward to 2011 Benghazi. The Benghazi scenario was very similar to the Congo scenario. There was a revolution going on in Libya. Muammar Gaddafi was fighting for his political survival after more than 40 years in power. The United States had sanctions against him and it was suspected that he was trying to obtain weapons of mass destruction. It's important to point out that prior to the actual takeover of Gaddafi's regime, with his tail between his legs Gaddafi offered the feather of peace to the United States and agreed to dismantle all efforts to obtain nuclear capabilities, even for peaceful use. Some say he actually did dismantle.

Hillary would not have it and continued support of the insurgency stating on February 28th "*Colonel Gaddafi and those around him must be held accountable for these acts, which violate international legal obligations and common decency. Through their actions, they have lost the legitimacy to govern. And the people of Libya have made themselves clear: It is time for Gaddafi to go — now, without further violence or delay.*"

Hillary Clinton's statement that "it's time for Gaddafi to go." in defense of human rights is a carbon copy of the Congo insurgency where in Larrent Kabila; was supported by her husband Bill Clinton. Kabila *"regularly and ruthlessly violated the human rights of the Congolese people, killing, torturing, imprisoning, and causing the 'disappearance' of any who he thought threatened him or his regime. Among those who suffered most were political opponents, leaders of civil society, human rights activists, and journalists."* *"Kabila handed out rights to exploit the vast mineral riches of the country to his commercial and military cronies while the economy as a whole disintegrated and ordinary people lacked food, medicine, and other basic needs of life, particularly in Kinshasa and other urban areas."* Link (<https://www.hrw.org/news/2001/01/19/congo-kabila-legacy>)

In 2007 it was Hillary's turn. Same process different country. She had supported in the name of the United States, Abdelhakim Belhadj to replace Gaddafi. The big difference between Kabila and Belhadj is that Belhadj was also a known terrorist.

Abdelhakim Belhadj is currently the head of ISIS forces in Libya and leader of the Libyan Islamic Movement, which used to be known as the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group. Formerly, he commanded the Libyan rebel Tripoli Military Council after emerging as a leader during the 2011 NATO bombing campaign when Libyan rebels wrested control of Tripoli from Muammar Gaddafi.[1]

In November 2017, British police requested the extradition from Libya of Hashem Abedi, the brother of Manchester suicide Bomber Salman Abedi, who is being held by Libya's Special Deterrence Force, a Tripoli-based militia with close ties to Abdelhakim Belhadj. It is understood that Belhadj is delaying Abedi's extradition until his torture case against MI6, former Foreign Secretary Jack Straw and Theresa May's government is resolved.[2]

Recent reports suggest Abdelhakim Belhadj was put in power in Libya by the Obama State Department during Hillary Clinton's tenure as Secretary of State and that Belhadj was in fact a major player in the events that led to the death on 12 September 2012 of American Ambassador, Chris Stevens, and three other Americans during the tragedy known as the "Benghazi Massacre".

Since the 2012 Benghazi attack, chaos has continued to cover much of Libya, a place now ruled in part by ISIS forces led by Abdelhakim Belhadj. In 2004 Belhadj was held by CIA authorities after being picked up in Malaysia and then sent back to Libya where he was imprisoned and remained until his sudden release in 2011.

The only person authorized to orchestrate such a release was Obama via Hillary Clinton. And so it is alleged that Obama and Hillary Clinton arranged the release from custody a known terrorist, a known jihadist, a known leader of ISIS and consequently an enemy of the United States of America. Note: The United States was at war with "Terrorism"

In the first confusing days after the September 11, 2001, attacks on the United States, President George W. Bush declared a war on terror. Link

https://scholarship.law.nd.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1683&context=law_faculty_scholarship

Shortly after that release, Belhadj was made commander of the Tripoli Military Council during the revolution that eventually toppled Gaddafi. Why the US government appeared so willing to place so much trust with a man with strong ties to the Taliban and various other Islamic terrorist groups remains a mystery. What is less of a mystery is that Belhadj found supporters not only among the Obama administration, including the Hillary Clinton State Department, but a very select group of powerful Republicans as well.

Muammar Gaddafi, the deposed leader of Libya, was captured and killed on 20 October 2011 during the Battle of Sirte.

A 'reasonable person' would wonder if Hillary's motives in removing Gaddafi from power had little to nothing to do with protecting human rights and everything to do with the exploitation of minerals in Syria.

The war over, the aftermath of the war in Syria will be waged to control natural resources such as electricity-producing dams, arable land and oil and gas fields. Whether Washington chooses to admit it or not, the U.S. now has direct influence over the vast majority of Syria's most productive oil fields. The U.S.-backed SDF (Belhadj) coalition has chewed up territory from the would-be caliphate, and, in so doing, has seized some of Syria's signature energy fields such as the al-Omar and al-Tanak oil field and Conoco gas field in Deir Ezzor province. More than just any old spoils of war that the

SDF has seized from the crumbling caliphate, these territorial gains are Syrian national treasures that when added up amount to brute geopolitical power for the U.S. Link { https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Abdelhakim_Belhadj}

It is also noted that Gaddafi had possession of billions of dollars in gold bullion that was never recovered.

Hillary Clinton's commitment to removing Gaddafi was not just lip service. With support from Obama, US weapons were supplied to the Libyan Rebels via private weapons contractors. Under Clinton's leadership, the State Department approved \$165 billion worth of commercial arms sales to 20 nations whose governments have given money to the Clinton Foundation, according to an IB Times analysis of State Department and foundation data. That figure -- derived from the three full fiscal years of Clinton's term as Secretary of State (from October 2010 to September 2012) -- represented nearly double the value of American arms sales made to the those countries and approved by the State Department during the same period of President George W. Bush's second term.

Previous arms shipment deals were consummated with a Clinton colleague Glencore International mining and oil magnate Marc Rich who as aforementioned, was eventually pardoned in 2001 by Bill Clinton before leaving office. Glencore International was also a mineral mining company. Obama and the Clintons had discovered that they could not only make money from overthrowing governments and taking their natural resources, but supplying weapons to insurgents who in turn accomplished the revolution that served the Clinton's enterprises. But Obama and Clinton couldn't use Mr. Rich to provide weapons to Libyan rebels because of his previous conviction for shipping weapons to East Africa. It's overstepping logic to assume that he would get involved in another Clinton scheme anyway.

The Clintons needed another weapons company that they could authorize to ship weapons. In Libya it would be the same Clinton strategy for weapons as that of natural resources, create the need for weapons via Libyan insurgents, then give selected private entities in the United States the necessary permits to ship the weapons to Libya. {Hillary Clintons job as Secretary of State} and as was the protocol, donations to the Clinton Foundation would be necessary to obtain these permits. Everyone made money. Link

(<http://www.ibtimes.com/clinton-foundation-donors-got-weapons-deals-hillary-clintons-state-department-1934187>)

'Under Clinton's leadership, the State Department approved \$165 billion worth of commercial arms sales to 20 nations whose governments have given money to the Clinton Foundation, according to an IB Times analysis of State Department and foundation data. That figure -- derived from the three full fiscal years of Clinton's term as Secretary of State (from October 2010 to September 2012) -- represented nearly double the value of American arms sales made to the those countries and approved by the State Department during the same period of President George W. Bush's second term.'

'The Clinton-led State Department also authorized \$151 billion of separate Pentagon-brokered deals for 16 of the countries that donated to the Clinton Foundation, resulting in a 143 percent increase in completed sales to those nations over the same time frame during the Bush administration. These extra sales were part of a broad increase in American military exports that accompanied Obama's arrival in the White House. The 143 percent increase in U.S. arms sales to Clinton Foundation donors compares to an 80 percent increase in such sales to all countries over the same time period.'

American defense contractors also donated to the Clinton Foundation while Hillary Clinton was secretary of state and in some cases made personal payments to Bill Clinton for speaking engagements. Such firms and their subsidiaries were listed as contractors in \$163 billion worth of Pentagon-negotiated deals that were authorized by the Clinton State Department between 2009 and 2012.

'The State Department formally approved these arms sales even as many of the deals enhanced the military power of countries ruled by authoritarian regimes whose human rights abuses had been criticized by the department. Algeria, Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, the United Arab Emirates, Oman and Qatar all donated to the Clinton Foundation and also gained State Department clearance to buy caches of American-made weapons even as the department singled them out for a range of alleged ills, from corruption to restrictions on civil liberties to violent crackdowns against political opponents.'

Hillary's justification for shipping arms to selected countries was always violation of human rights and most recently the support of terrorism.

'Congress passed Obama's plan for the U.S. military to train and equip moderate Syrian rebels. In addition, Obama has cobbled together an international coalition of over 40 nations to help the U.S. target ISIS by cutting off its financing,

countering its propaganda and beefing up humanitarian and military assistance, including arms for Kurdish forces in Iraq. Obama has also launched a sustained campaign of airstrikes to beat back ISIS's advances in Iraq and Syria, where the United States — backed by Saudi Arabia, Jordan, Bahrain, Qatar and the United Arab Emirates — recently made its first military foray into the war-wracked nation after nearly four years of fighting. {Wash. Diplomat}

In the case of Libya, the motive to gain control of natural resources also becomes evident with the emergence of Unaoil in the planning and implementation of the removal of Gaddafi. The Ambassador to Syria was Robert Steven Ford.

Prior, the US had no sitting Ambassador in Liby. The person representing the United States contact in Libya was known as a diplomatic official who temporarily takes the place of an ambassador. {Charles (Chuck) Hunter.} (Chargé d'Affaires)

In October 2011, Syrian Ambassador Ford was recalled from Syria due to what the State Department called "credible threats" to his safety, though he continued to hold the post of ambassador from Washington. Ford was reportedly in line as the next U.S. ambassador to Egypt, though his vocal support for Syria's rebels probably didn't endear him to Egypt's powerful ruling military. Regardless, in February Ford quit his job and left the Foreign Service to protest the Obama administration's inaction in the face of growing atrocities by the Assad regime in Syria, where nearly 200,000 people have been killed since anti-government protests mushroomed into a full-scale civil war nearly four years ago, although some estimates put the death count as high as 250,000.

*"My conscience would not allow me to continue," Ford told The Washington Diplomat in an extensive interview. "We were confronting a regime that had used chemical weapons, that was killing civilians left and right, and flouting every standard of international decency. Although our verbiage was great, on the ground we were doing very little to pressure that regime. I couldn't in good faith go out in front of the cameras and justify that policy."*Link

(http://www.washdiplomat.com/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=10886:ford-doesnt-mince-words-about-us-failures-in-syria&catid=1523&Itemid=428)

When Gaddafi was killed and the rebels won, Stevens was promoted to ambassador, and sworn in by Secretary of State Hillary Clinton. The question then became, if the State Department called the United States Syrian Ambassador Ford back to the US because of extreme danger to Americans, why did Obama and Clinton send Chris Stevens (who was not officially the Ambassador) into harm's way in Libya who was in the midst of a revolution?

Stevens, 52, went to Benghazi in April 2011 on a Greek cargo ship. He was part of a team of a dozen U.S. diplomats and guards who went into Libya to establish a diplomatic presence while the fight to topple Moammar Gadhafi was still raging. It was his job to be "the eyes and ears for policy makers trying to gauge how to respond to the rebellion under way," says Flavia Krause-Jackson at Bloomberg, "and avert a massacre in that city by Gaddafi forces." Colleagues say that Stevens, who was fluent in Arabic, had no illusions about the dangers of his post. "The image of the striped-pants ambassador who goes to cocktail parties," said Jeffrey D. Feltman, undersecretary general at the United Nations, "that was not Chris Stephens." Indeed, "as the conflict in Libya unfolded, Chris was one of the first Americans on the ground in Benghazi," Clinton said. "He risked his own life to lend the Libyan people a helping hand to build the foundation for a new, free nation." Link {<https://theweek.com/articles/472372/quietly-heroic-life-ambassador-chris-stevens>}

After the fact its been revealed that Stevens also was an expert at shipping weapons into foreign countries and in fact approved the request by Mac Turi to ship 200 million in arms to Qatar. Obama and Hillary Clinton's State Department rented facilities in Benghazi as an outpost and of course the outpost would require security. It's unknown at this writing, how much Stevens knew about the Clintons' and Obama's history of overthrowing governments for personal gain. There are those who suspect that Stevens was a CIA operative, operating under the veil of the State Department. But there is no known information that would confirm this. In hindsight this is likely. The Clinton's wanted Stevens out of the way and what better action could they take to sway suspicion away from them than to promote him to Ambassador.

Had Steven's presence and the shipment of arms been a CIA operation, Hillary Clinton could use this as an exculpatory defense should someone try to make a criminal matter out of the shipment of arms to Libya via Qatar. There have been allegations that Christopher Stevens was a CIA operative. This cannot be confirmed or denied.

However at the trial of Libyan militia leader Ahmed Abu Khattala on October 28,2017 for the Murder of Christopher Stevens, Glen Doherty, Tyron Woods and Sean Smith, two admitted CIA operatives testified 'under unusual secrecy measures—including wearing what the judge described as "light disguise"—the CIA officers told a federal jury at the

Washington trial of a Benghazi militia leader about how they flew in from Tripoli on the night of the assault on the U.S. diplomatic compound in Benghazi only to eventually find themselves caught up in an intense firefight at a CIA annex nearby.'

They had no idea what the situation was in Benghazi.

'The two CIA witnesses described leaving Tripoli around midnight on a small jet chartered for \$30,000 in cash and arriving in Benghazi in the wee hours of the morning after the initial assault. After waiting a few hours at the airport for a local militia escort, the Americans headed to the CIA annex, about a mile and a half from the main U.S. compound.'

"We came in and a few minutes later all hell broke loose," recalled the CIA officer, an Arabic speaker who testified using the pseudonym Alexander Charles. "Maybe eight minutes since we arrived, they used mortars....You can feel the whole ground shaking....The building itself, the walls start falling apart, big blocks of cement falling."The officer, who said he has three decades of experience in the military and CIA, said he expected to die. Link {<https://www.politico.com/story/2017/10/10/cia-officers-testify-benghazi-attacks-243637>} {Politico}

Khattala denied being involved in the attack on Benghazi. He was acquitted of murder but found guilty of terrorism on Federal charges.

This testimony suggests that the whole operation was not a CIA operation. The Secretary of State has no authority over CIA operations. Obama initiated a secret operation called 'Zero Foot Print' to ship weapons into Libya. This prompts the question, why would the Clintons' not want US Government agency involvement in Libya to include a live Ambassador?

Reuters: 2011- U.S. officials also have said that Saudi Arabia and Qatar, whose leaders despise Gaddafi, have indicated a willingness to supply Libyan rebels with weapons.

March 30,2011 WASHINGTON (Reuters) - *President Barack Obama has signed a secret order authorizing covert U.S. government support for rebel forces seeking to oust Libyan leader Muammar Gaddafi, government officials told Reuters on Wednesday. Obama signed the order, known as a presidential "finding", within the last two or three weeks, according to government sources familiar with the matter.*

Such findings are a principal form of presidential directive used to authorize secret operations by the Central Intelligence Agency. This is a necessary legal step before such action can take place but does not mean that it will.

The CIA had no comment. Link {<https://www.reuters.com/article/us-libya-usa-order/exclusive-obama-authorizes-secret-help-for-libya-rebels-idUSTRE72T6H220110330>}

This scenario suggests that President Obama had authorized the shipment of arms to Libya via Qatar and suggests that it was a CIA operation. Why did not the two CIA operatives sent to Benghazi know what was going on at Steven's Benghazi outpost.

According to federal law, in the event a covert operation is deemed necessary, it is the president's responsibility to alert the leadership in the House and Senate, as well as the chairman and ranking members of the Intelligence Committees in both chambers. In the intelligence community, this group is referred to as "the Gang of 8" [John Boehner, Nancy Pelosi, Mike Rogers, Ruppertsberger, Mitch McConnell, Harry Reid, Diane Feinstein, Saxby Chambliss].

The Gang of 8, which included leaders from both parties should have known about Operation Zero Footprint. Video{ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tDcRue48zpE>} Now think about all the political people who have either thrown roadblocks up, or dragged their heels, in the two years since the Benghazi attack.... now go back and look at that list again.Link {<https://theconservativetreehouse.com/2014/06/18/cia-operation-zero-footprint-qatar-benghazi-and-the-connection-to-ahmed-abu-khattala-is-the-real-motive-for-the-obama-administrations-recent-arrest/#more-83887>}

Regardless, the presence of a pseudo Syrian Ambassador (Stevens) conducting business in Benghazi where the Clintons operations would be visible and open to scrutiny would certainly have been viewed as a liability to the Clintons' rather than an asset. And there was the press to consider. What would Americans' think when it became known that Ambassador Ford was recalled because of the danger in Syria and then when the Rebels' assumed control of Syria arrangements for access to Syrian natural resources by Clinton colleagues began without an official diplomatic representative firmly in place. As aforementioned, Ambassador Ford believed the intervention of weapons to the Syrian

rebels was all about getting rid of Gaddafi and doing the right thing for the Syrians. He missed the point. At least publicly. In any event he chose to resign his position.

If the Clinton plan were to succeed Gaddafi must be replaced with an insurgent. It was no secret that Gaddafi was a rogue. He had massive accumulations of Gold and Oil and was in the process of establishing an East African economy based on the Gold standard.

The Clintons succeeded in labeling Gaddafi as a terrorist trying to get his hands on a nuclear bomb, a human rights violator and his promotion of a new gold backed East African economy could threaten the Worlds Economy. The Clintons' had all the assistance they needed to fleece the American Public from the US main stream media. Gaddafi's offer to co-operate with the US was absolutely not good for the Clintons'. It mattered not that Abdelhakim Belhadj made Gaddafi look like a Saint. Belhadj could be led into the Clinton scheme and Abdelhakim would therefore be in debt to the Clintons' for arranging his leap to power in Syria.

But Obama needed another 'fall guy'. Someone other than Belhadj who Hillary Clinton would refer to as "the rebels" and that would turn out to be Ahmed Abu Khattala AKA Ahmad Bukhattalah –

Ahmed Abu Khattala was a local Benghazi thug who spent most of his adult life in a Gaddafi jail. Obama's scheme to hold Khattala responsible for the attack on the Benghazi ambassador outpost failed and Khattala was found not guilty when charged with Federal Murder in the killing of Chris Stevens and three others. That leaves only one other possible person who had the ability and intelligence to successfully carry out the attack. Abdelhakim Bejhad.

And so Hillary Clinton and Barrack Obama began to find contractors to ship arms into the hands of Belhadj. Obama gave the ok to supply weapons to Libyan rebels via Qatar. It's likely that once the arms arrived in Qatar, Hillary's old colleagues at Lafarge Concrete would pick them up and move them into Libya. But either they didn't know or they miscalculated Bejhad. He was also a jihadist and the Libyan leader of ISIS.

When the main stream press or anyone else for that matter says the US is shipping weapons to other countries it doesn't mean that a Government Agency such as the Army or Navy is physically taking weapons to another country. It means that private United States contractors are shipping the weapons with the United States Government's blessings and have legal permits issued by the US Department of State. In this case Hillary Clinton.

'Because of the unique construction of the entire Libyan operation the Department of Defense and the FBI had virtually no knowledge of Operation Zero Footprint, which is completely consistent with the US Senate Select Committee's finding after the Benghazi attack.'

'We are puzzled as to how the military leadership [vis-a-vis Ham] expected to effectively respond and rescue Americans in the event of an emergency when it did not even know of the existence of one of the U.S. facilities' {US Senate Sub Committee}{{The conservative treehouse}}

Seems the only persons who knew about the whole operation was HC, Obama, the Syrian 'Rebels', Stevens and a man named Marc Turi.

Previous to the Clinton's involvement Libya insurgents were obtaining their weapons (French) from the 'black market' and Qatar shipped the most until the emergence of ISIS. The separate rebel factions operated under the Umbrella known as the Free Syrian Army (FSA). Belhadj was the leader of one of these factions { Libyan Islamic Fighting Group} and soon became a power within the ISIS group..

The state of Qatar is a sovereign country in Western Asia and has the world's third largest gas and oil reserves. Qatar is a significant power in the Arab world, and has a history of supporting Rebel Groups. Qatar is responsible for the Aljazeera Media Network. Qatar is an ISIS supporter and contributed over one million dollars to the Clinton Foundation when Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State.

The Qataris provided weapons, money to Adel Hakim Belhaj, then leader of the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group and training was provide by the C.I.A. in 2004.

The Libyan government headed by Gaddafi was not without huge amounts of weapons and was shipping weapons to other countries such as the Irish Republican Army. Gaddafi purchased weapons from countries such as China and Russia. Other than Obama and Clinton, the United States CIA and Military intelligence agencies had serious concerns about

shipping weapons to Libyan rebels because the Rebels were known to have connections to ISIS Jihadists and the Taliban. Little did they know, thanks to Obama and Hillary Clinton, the most powerful ISIS leader in Libya would be the actual recipient of the weapons.

'The Obama administration without Congressional approval secretly gave its blessing to arms shipments to Libyan rebels from Qatar last year, but American officials later grew alarmed as evidence grew that Qatar was turning some of the weapons over to Islamic militants, according to United States officials and foreign diplomats.'

'No evidence has emerged linking the weapons provided by the Qataris during the uprising against Col. Muammar el-Qaddafi to the attack that killed four Americans at the United States diplomatic compound in Benghazi, Libya, in September.' NY TIMES. Link {<https://www.nytimes.com/2012/12/06/world/africa/weapons-sent-to-libyan-rebels-with-us-approval-fell-into-islamist-hands.html>}

It's alleged that Clinton and Obama planned to personally benefit from the overthrow of Gaddafi and to insure their success it was necessary of offset the huge stock pile of weapons in the hands of Gaddafi with more weapons in the hands of the insurgents. But the plan was flawed. Obama and Clinton continued to tell the Media that the United States position was pro independence and anti ISIS . But then there was an incident that would change everything. An American Helicopter was shot down by the Taliban with a US high tech Stinger missile.

'The White House team could never have anticipated the re-surfacing of Qatar and the connections that came up to Qatar's previous less-than-honorable follow-through with the Libyan missiles; some of which ended up in the hands of the Taliban and were used against U.S. forces in Afghanistan.' {Conservative Tree House}

'Military records and sources reveal that on July 25, 2012, Taliban fighters in Kunar province successfully targeted a US Army CH-47 helicopter with a new generation Stinger missile.'

'They thought they had a surefire kill. But instead of bursting into flames, the Chinook just disappeared into the darkness as the American pilot recovered control of the aircraft and brought it to the ground in a hard landing.'

'The assault team jumped out the open doors and ran clear in case it exploded. Less than 30 seconds later, the Taliban gunner and his comrade erupted into flames as an American gunship overhead locked onto their position and opened fire.'

'The next day, an explosive ordnance disposal team arrived to pick through the wreckage and found un-exploded pieces of a missile casing that could only belong to a Stinger missile. {Kenneth Timmerman}Link {<https://nypost.com/2014/06/08/how-the-taliban-got-their-hands-on-modern-us-missiles/>}

Mark Turi and the Turi Defense Group

It's alleged that the Clinton State Department needed a scapegoat or the illegal covert shipping of weapons to Qatar would point directly to Obama and Hillary Clinton. The plan to funnel arms into Libya had backfired when it became known that American arms shipped into Libya were falling into the hands of terrorist enemies of the United States and the number one Terrorist in Lybia was Hillary Clinton's man Adel Hakim Belhaj. In fact it was common knowledge in 2015, two years after the American Chinook Helicopter was shot down, that ISIS and the Taliban had infiltrated the Libyan rebels and in fact Abdelhakim Belhadj also known as Abu Abdullah al-Sadiq was himself the leader of the Libyan ISIS and Taliban factions and fought alongside of Osama Bin Laden in Pakistan. Link

{ https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/middle_east/these-libyan-ex-militiamen-were-once-linked-to-al-qaeda-now-they-wield-power-in-a-new-order/2017/09/27/8356abf8-97dd-11e7-af6a-6555caaeb8dc_story.html?utm_term=.940a7823e266}

It became clear that Obama needed to convince the American Public that the position of the United States was on a road of non violence and that there might still be hope to get Gaddafi to surrender his weapons of mass destruction stop his violations of human rights. He didn't release the fact that Gaddafi had previously offered to comply with US demands.

January 16, 2017 Obama's National Security Advisor Susan Rice had touted the fact that she was close to attaining peace in Libya in 2017 and thus the Obama administration was close to "avoiding violence".

Avoiding violence? And to make it more clear:

Just four days before Rice's comments, the Treasury Department sanctioned Syrian officials for use of chlorine in warfare. "The Syrian regime's use of chemical weapons against its own people is a heinous act that violates the long-standing global norm against the production and use of chemical weapons," said Adam J. Szubin, acting undersecretary for terrorism and financial intelligence. "Today's action is a critical part of the international community's effort to hold the Syrian regime accountable for violating the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) and UN Security Council Resolution 2118." {Glen Kessler} Washington Post. Link

{https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/fact-checker/wp/2017/04/10/susan-rices-claim-that-obama-got-syria-to-verify-give-up-its-chemical-weapons-stockpile/?utm_term=.f4650bee5113}

It's alleged that Hillary Clinton and Obama decided that the Turi Defense Group would be collateral damage and they would demonstrate the State Departments commitment to peace in East Africa.

Marc Turi an arms dealer was indicted in 2014 on four felony counts: two of arms dealing in violation of the Arms Export Control Act and two of lying to the State Department in official applications. The charges accused Turi of claiming that the weapons involved were destined for Qatar and the United Arab Emirates, and the arms were actually and in secret intended to reach the Libyan revolutionaries.

Turi, a resident of Tempe Arizona had a checkered background. He had a felony conviction as a teenager when his name was Marc Chapman. It's not known when he changed his name to Marc Turi.

Turi admits to a criminal history. He told Fox Business that in the late 1980s, he stole a computer, his roommate's car, and wrote bad checks including one for \$100,000. Through court records, Fox News verified he was arrested, convicted, and served time in an Arizona jail. Turi admitted "*In my youth, I made some very very bad mistakes...I was discharged from the United States Navy under other than honorable conditions...and I've been fighting ever since to get that honor back.*" He is also quoted as stating: "*I got involved in this business [arms dealing] in the 1990s. I've been involved in all type of operations, regarding transportation, logistics, and liaising with those foreign governments.*" Link

In March 2011, just as the Libyan civil war was intensifying, Mr. Turi realized that Libya could be a lucrative new market, and he applied to the State Department for a license to provide weapons to the rebels in Libya, according to e-mails and other documents he has provided. Shipping arms direct to Libya was not authorized. Turi claims he and the Clinton State Department jointly planned instead to ship the arms to Qatar and from there they would be secretly shipped into Libya to be accepted by the Libyan rebels. If the Clinton business model was applied, Turi would have been required to donate to the Clinton Foundation. Turi did not mention that any donations were made. Link

<https://www.vox.com/2015/4/28/8501643/Clinton-foundation-donors-State>

In May 2011, his State Department application was approved to ship more than \$200 million worth of weapons to Qatar.

It's not clear how the Justice Department received information that Turi was planning to subvert the arms embargo against Libya via shipment using the Qatar destination. Turi says that before the ink was dry on his State Department contract in July 2011 Department of Homeland Security agents raided his home and arrested him for violating the Arms Export Control Act.

After a 31 month investigation on Feb. 11, 2014, a federal grand jury in Phoenix finally handed up the four-count indictment. Turi was accused of lying to the State Department and violating U.S. arms-export laws. Their case theory: Turi's deal with Qatar ultimately was intended to get around the Libyan arms embargo.

But Turi's trial was scheduled a few days before Hillary Clinton's 2016 presidential election the following November.

But it was common knowledge that Qatar had been shipping French arms on their own to Libya and the:

{Obama administration did not raise objections when Qatar began shipping arms to opposition groups in Syria, even if it did not offer encouragement, according to current and former administration officials. }

The United States, which had only small numbers of C.I.A. officers in Libya during the tumult of the rebellion, provided little oversight of the arms shipments. Within weeks of endorsing Qatar's plan to send weapons there in spring 2011, the White House began receiving reports that they were going to Islamic militant groups. They were "more antidemocratic,

more hard-line, closer to an extreme version of Islam” than the main rebel alliance in Libya, said a former Defense Department official. Link

{https://www.nytimes.com/2012/12/06/world/africa/weapons-sent-to-libyan-rebels-with-us-approval-fell-into-islamist-hands.html?_r=1}

Turi said that although he had the State Department authorization to ship weapons to Qatar, he actually didn't ship anything because he was under criminal investigation by the Department of Justice. However later a bill of laden of weapons received in Turkey was discovered by Wikileaks and the list of weapons was exactly the same as the weapons list provided by the prosecutors in Turi's case. The name of the shipper had been redacted.

<https://counterjihadreport.com/tag/marc-turi/>

“American arms dealer Marc Turi, in his first television interview since criminal charges against him were dropped, told Fox News that the Obama administration — with the cooperation of Hillary Clinton’s State Department — tried and failed to make him the scapegoat for a 2011 covert weapons program to arm Libyan rebels that spun out of control.”

“I would say, 100 percent, I was victimized...to somehow discredit me, to throw me under the bus, to do whatever it took to protect their next presidential candidate,” he told Fox News chief intelligence correspondent Catherine Herridge.

Hillary Clinton and Obama knew that a public trial against Turi would expose Clinton's covert, unauthorized escalation of the Libyan revolution and of particular fear to them, the arranging of a known Taliban Jihadist as Libya's liberator.

The Obama administration is moved to dismiss charges against Turi who had been accused of selling weapons that were destined for Libyan rebels.

Lawyers for the Justice Department filed a motion in federal court in Phoenix to drop the case against the arms dealer, an American named Marc Turi, whose lawyers also signed the motion.

The deal also averted a trial that threatened to cast additional scrutiny on Hillary Clinton's private emails as Secretary of State, and to expose reported Central Intelligence Agency attempts to arm rebels fighting Libyan leader Moammar Gaddafi. (It has been shown that the CIA most likely did not know anything about the Turi Contract) The mainstream press had no idea that later evidence indicated that most likely the CIA had little knowledge of Obama's and Hillary Clintons and that the overthrow of Gaddafi was exclusively an Obama and Hillary Clinton project.

Government lawyers were facing a deadline to produce documents to Turi's legal team, and the trial was officially set to begin on Election Day, although it likely would have been delayed by protracted disputes about classified information in the case.

A Turi associate asserted that the government dropped the case because the proceedings could have embarrassed Clinton and President Barack Obama by calling attention to the reported role of their administration in supplying weapons that fell into the hands of Islamic extremist militants.{Politco} {<https://www.politico.com/story/2016/10/marc-turi-libyan-rebels-hillary-clinton-229115>}

The Clinton's plan to cash in on the weapons sale to Qatar failed miserably. The Obama and Clinton plan to hold Ahmed Abu Khattala responsible for the attack on the Benghazi outpost failed miserably and the attempt to blame Turi for the plan to ship weapons to Libyan rebels had to be aborted.

In spite of it all, the White House managed to escape culpability because few realized the money motive. Without a motive, all of the allegations of corruptive behavior on behalf of Obama and the Clintons defied logic including the arranging of a known ISIS terrorist to assume control of Libya.

Although Turi survived the ordeal without a conviction or prison time, he claims his reputation and business have been decimated. And Obama and Clinton managed to keep it all from the public prior to the presidential election and of course they were not held accountable for any of it.

No-one asked Turi if he donated to the Clinton Foundation to get the lucrative 200 million dollar contract to move weapons to Libya.

But the Clinton's were not finished. There was still the Libyan natural resources to be had.

UNAOIL

What was the process for choosing the security contractor for Chris Stevens's Benghazi outpost? Why were the security personnel inept untrained and unarmed? Follow the money.

Chris Stevens may not have been the uninformed, naive former volunteer for the Peace Corp that his Bio seems to indicate. Throughout and prior to the attack at Benghazi, Hillary Clinton was in constant contact with Qatar and on at least one occasion when Clinton met personally and privately with Qatar representative, Chris Stevens was in attendance. It's reported that Chris Stevens was an expert in East African political relations and shipping arms to foreign countries.

According to a Biography sanctioned by Chris's family: 'Chris' career in the Foreign Service began in 1991. Since he spoke Arabic, the State Department sent him to Riyadh, Saudi Arabia as a Consular/Economic Officer. He next served as Special Assistant to the Under Secretary for Political Affairs, as Iran Desk Officer, and as Staff Assistant in the Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs, before being posted as Consular/Political Officer in the U.S. Embassy in Cairo. His next two posts were as Political Officer in Damascus, Syria, and Deputy Principal Officer and Political Section Chief in Jerusalem, where he served for three years. From 2006 to 2007, he was a Pearson Fellow with the Senate Foreign Relations Committee. From 2007 to 2009, when the United States resumed relations with Libya, Chris served as the Deputy Chief of Mission and as chargé d'affaires in Tripoli & Libya. During this time, he worked on the rebuilding of the U.S. Embassy, which subsequently was vacated during the Libyan insurgency. Some of the claims in this biography are incorrect.

In March 2011 Stevens became the official U.S. liaison to the al-Qaeda-linked Libyan opposition, working directly with Abdelhakim Belhadj of the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group — a group that has now disbanded, with some fighters reportedly participating in the attack that took Stevens' life.

In November 2011 The Telegraph reported that Belhadj, acting as head of the Tripoli Military Council, "met with Free Syrian Army [FSA] leaders in Istanbul and on the border with Turkey" in an effort by the new Libyan government to provide money and weapons to the growing insurgency in Syria.

Last month The Times of London reported that a Libyan ship "carrying the largest consignment of weapons for Syria ... has docked in Turkey." The shipment reportedly weighed 400 tons and included SA-7 surface-to-air anti-craft missiles and rocket-propelled grenades. link { <http://www.businessinsider.com/us-syria-heavy-weapons-jihadists-2012-10>}

The documents, obtained by Judicial Watch in a Freedom of Information Act lawsuit, provide evidence that U.S. Ambassador Christopher Stevens was involved in shipping weapons from Benghazi to support the al-Qaida-affiliated militias fighting the Bashar al-Assad regime in Syria, effectively arming the Sunni jihadists who morphed into ISIS.

The weapons shipped from Syria during late-August 2012 were Sniper rifles, RPG's and 125mm and 155mm Howitzers. The numbers for each weapon were estimated to be: 500 Sniper rifles, 100 RPG launchers with 300 total rounds, and approximately 400 Howitzers. The heavily redacted document does not disclose who was shipping the weapons.

Link{ <http://thehill.com/blogs/pundits-blog/homeland-security/312861-fast-and-furious-cartel-middle-east-and-oig>}

The Clinton's relationship with Qatar was more than a State Department relationship. Qatar had donated millions to the Clinton Foundation.

In Libya, Unaoil partnered with a Tripoli-based businessman named Muhannad Alamir. A former Unaoil employee who served as a confidential source (Mitchel) for the FBI told investigators that Unaoil and Alamir bribed Libyan officials. Unaoil and Alamir deny they bribed anyone. Later Unaoil employee Lindsey Mitchell has told Fairfax Media, The Huffington Post and the BBC's Panorama program that he bribed senior officials in the Gaddafi regime on behalf of, and while working for, Unaoil in mid 2009.

Muhannad Alamir started working with the State Department in early 2012, less than three years after cutting ties with Unaoil. He provided Blue Mountain Group, the small British security firm that won the {Hillary's State Department} Benghazi guard contract, with the license it needed to legally operate in Libya. (Blue Mountain Group was owned by Alamir) { <https://www.smh.com.au/national/unaoil-scandal-a-bribe-payer-comes-forward-to-admit-his-crime-20161027-gscek.html>}

Andrew C. McCarthy, former Assistant U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York, connected Clinton's 2014 comment to John Podesta regarding the FSA [Free Syrian Army] to a weapons shipment from Benghazi to Turkey for eventual transit to Syria that occurred just days before the Benghazi attack.


McCarthy noted the September 2012 weapons shipment was coordinated by Belhaj, 'an al-Qaeda-affiliated jihadist with whom Stevens had consulted during the uprising against Gaddafi.'

McCarthy further noted the September 2012 shipment of weapons had been arranged by Marc Turi, a professional arms dealer who had been indicted by federal prosecutors in Phoenix for supplying arms to Libyan "rebels" during the 2010-2011 war.

Link: <http://thehill.com/blogs/pundits-blog/homeland-security/312861-fast-and-furious-cartel-middle-east-and-oig>

Apparently Blue Mountain bid on the contract to provide security for the Stevens Benghazi outpost but did not have a license to perform the work and had to work under another contractor's license. That contractor was Xband . Xband is also owned by Muhannad Alamir.

It's common knowledge that Blue Mountain Group was not a professional security company. They fulfilled their contract with human bodies that had no security experience and no weapons to defend themselves let alone the outpost. But Blue Mountain was a part of the Obama/Clinton family that was assembled by Unaoil ex employee Muhannad Alamir. Witnesses to the attack on the Benghazi outpost claim they saw some of the Blue Mountain employees join the attackers. It appeared as if the Benghazi outpost was intentionally created to fail should it be attacked.



The Huffington Post

Unaoil was in the business of procuring mining and oil rights by bribery.

The American engineering and construction firm KBR hired Unaoil — an obscure Monaco-based company now involved in a massive international bribery scandal — to help it win oil and gas contracts in Kazakhstan. KBR, which until 2007 was part of the oilfield services giant Halliburton, paid Unaoil millions of dollars from 2004 until at least 2009, according to thousands of internal documents obtained by The Huffington Post and Fairfax Media.

Link: {https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/kbr-unaoil-corruption_us_56fafbf1e4b0a06d5803f5b8}

Now fast forward to the Haiti Hurricane Matthew Oct 4, 2016. The Clinton's criminal behavior is established again with the Haiti incident.

Haiti

The Clinton Haiti scam is a testament to the versatility of a simple criminal money laundering operation business model.

It's apparent that the first goal is to get legal funds into the Clinton Foundation and the source typically is from the donations to the Clinton Foundation for favors granted, usually some kind of Government Contract, or speaking fees paid to one or both of the Clintons. Speaking fees are funds paid directly to the Clintons personally and not their foundation but in a practical sense the fee amounts are restricted by public scrutiny and are minute compared to the unregulated amounts that have been donated to the Clinton Foundation. It's common knowledge that neither Hilary nor Bill Clinton can not sensibly receive 50 million dollars for a speech. Even the \$500,000 paid to Bill Clinton by the Russians for a speech is eyebrow raising. The Clinton Foundation is a non taxable foundation.

The second goal is to take the funds out of the Clinton Foundation and into the hands of Hillary or Bill or possibly Barack Obama. (not proven) The Haiti case is not directly related to the Benghazi incident but the methodology for accessing and transferring funds is the same and should be included in this writing because it involves all three, Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton and Barrack Obama and continues the pattern of criminal behavior.

In 2016 it was alleged that Obama and the Clinton State Department, as in the Congo and Lybia, tried to keep political control of Haiti by "fixing" the 2016 presidential election. It seems that the current president Michel Martelly who facilitated the Clintons ravage of the Haiti earthquake funds six years earlier could not keep his position because of Haitian term limits. Obama and the Clintons' were also anticipating a new US president (Hillary) and anticipated receiving more future funds from the Haiti 'cash cow'. Obama and Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State pumped 30 million dollars of US Tax payer money in support of Jovenel Moïse who had been endorsed by Martially. The Clintons failed to maintain control of Haiti that had previously been provided with billions of US Taxpayer relief funds that found its way into the coffers of the Clinton Foundation via contractor donations.

'The pro-democracy movement just won a major victory, forcing the election commission to postpone the second round of presidential voting, which had been scheduled for January 24. (The demonstrators then increased their demand, and are now calling on President Michel Martelly to resign even before his term is up on February 7.) The government backed down after days of intense street protests, a promised boycott, and repudiation by broad sectors of Haitian society, including the opposition candidate who was supposed to participate in the runoff. The cancellation is a huge setback for the United States, which spent \$33 million on the election and had been pressing Haitians to go out and vote.' **The Nation Link**; <https://www.thenation.com/article/why-haitians-are-chanting-down-with-obama/>

After taking advantage of Haiti after the 2010 Haiti earthquake: In the wake of the devastating destruction to Haiti caused by the 2016 Hurricane Matthew, Bill Clinton took to Twitter and urged his six million-plus followers to go to the embattled Clinton Foundation to assist in relief efforts.

Hurricane Matthew was the first Category 5 Atlantic hurricane since Hurricane Felix in 2007, and also caused catastrophic damage and a humanitarian crisis in Haiti, as well as widespread devastation in the southeastern United States. Wikipedia

"Praying for everyone impacted by #Hurricane Matthew. Here's how you can help in Haiti," Clinton said his tweet, which linked to a Medium article, entitled, "Members of the Clinton Foundation Community Respond to Hurricane Matthew; Ways to Support." Breitbart

But the Haitians were still seething from the 2010 earthquake and the missing billion dollars of relief money. Despite this, Bill Clinton had the audacity to ask his Clinton Foundation for donations to go to the hurricane victims in Haiti.

'The last time tragedy struck the tiny Caribbean country, Bill and then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton — according to scores of enraged Haitians — used their billion dollar charity and leveraged their global connections to enrich themselves and their monied cronies.'

'Following the devastating earthquake in Haiti in 2010— while 316,000 lifeless bodies lay buried under rubble, and while 300,000 Haitians suffered from injuries, with another 1.3 million displaced — the Clintons saw an opportunity to cash in.'

Hillary Clinton's brother, Tony Rodham, landed a lucrative and historically rare Haitian "gold exploitation permit," while Clinton Foundation donors, including Digicel mobile phone company founder Denis O'Brien, were winning multi-million dollar contracts that would siphon massive profits from the poorest country in the Western Hemisphere.

Tony Rodham had a high-school education and no known formal expertise.

It's very important to note that in the Haiti earthquake case, Barack Obama made a mistake and this mistake caused him to be culpable in the previous actions by the Clinton's. In this rare statement he took full responsibility for the funding of billions of earthquake relief dollars to Haiti, to be controlled by the Clintons'. It is a public confession of culpability. [Link](#).

President Obama pledged a swift, coordinated, and aggressive effort in response to the earthquake in Haiti in January and called for sustained commitment to Haiti's reconstruction. In light of Haitian President Preval's attendance at the G8 today, the President reiterated the importance of this sustained commitment by the international community.

The following provides an update on the U.S response.

"I've directed my administration to launch a swift, coordinated and aggressive effort to save lives and support the recovery in Haiti. The losses that have been suffered in Haiti are nothing less than devastating, and responding to a disaster of this magnitude will require every element of our national capacity – our diplomacy and development assistance; the power of our military; and, most importantly, the compassion of our country." Video {<https://www.c-span.org/video/?291323-1/presidential-remarks-haitian-earthquake-relief-efforts>}

At the May Haiti's Donor Conference in New York, the United States pledged \$1.15 billion across fiscal years 2010 and 2011 for Haiti's reconstruction pending supplemental funding from the U.S. Congress, representing about 22% of the \$5.27 billion pledged by nearly forty countries and international institutions over the next two years. This reconstruction pledge is in addition to the nearly \$1.1 billion that the United States has already contributed towards relief and recovery efforts, which includes \$623 million from USAID and \$459 million from the Department of Defense.

President Obama also asked former Presidents Bill Clinton and George W. Bush to join together to lead a major public fundraising effort. They subsequently established the Clinton Bush Haiti Fund and have so far raised over \$36 million from U.S. businesses and more than 200,000 individuals to help the people of Haiti rebuild – on top of the \$1 billion that American citizens have donated since January through the United Nations and non-governmental organizations, including the American Red Cross.

President Clinton serves in his personal capacity as the Special Envoy to Haiti for the Secretary General of the United Nations. He has also been appointed by President Preval of Haiti to serve as co-chair the Interim Haiti Recovery Commission, alongside Haitian Prime Minister Jean-Max Bellerive. The United States has been fully supported of President Preval's efforts to establish the Commission, which will provide coordination for the international donor efforts that are funded through the Haiti Reconstruction Fund, a multi-donor trust fund established by the World Bank. The United States has made an initial pledge of \$30 million to the Fund. The Commission's first approved projects, totaling \$66 million, have already been announced. [Link { http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=90119 }](http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/index.php?pid=90119)

While then-Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's State Department was funneling billions in relief funds to rebuild Haiti, the Clinton Foundation was leveraging its influence to guide high-dollar contracts to longtime Clinton cronies who would go on to reap millions off the catastrophe that had claimed so many lives on the devastated island.

"I deal through the Clinton Foundation," Tony Rodham said according to a transcript of his testimony during court proceedings, obtained by The New York Times. "That gets me in touch with the Haitian officials. I found my brother-in-law [Bill Clinton], because it's his fund that we're going to get our money from. And he can't do it until the Haitian government does it."

The anger at the Clintons from the Haitian people is no secret. [Breitbart {http://www.breitbart.com/2016-presidential-race/2016/10/06/bill-clinton-pushing-for-clinton-foundation-haiti-relief-after-fleecing-haitians/}](http://www.breitbart.com/2016-presidential-race/2016/10/06/bill-clinton-pushing-for-clinton-foundation-haiti-relief-after-fleecing-haitians/)

As Breitbart News reported, Haitians have been protesting the Clintons for years for their transgressions against the tiny island nation.

Fox News Channel anchor Bret Baier reported on the money made by companies with ties to the Clintons after Haiti earthquake in a preview of an upcoming special based on Peter Schweizer's "Clinton Cash" on Friday's "America's Newsroom" on the Fox News Channel.

Baier reported that after the 2010 Haiti earthquake, "Hillary's State Department, working with USAID, the United States Agency for International Development, would oversee America's relief effort. The government of Haiti set up the IHRC, Interim Haiti Recovery Commission, to coordinate, two men were named co-chairs of the IHRC Executive Committee, Haitian Prime Minister Jean-Max Bellerive, and Bill Clinton himself."

Author Peter Schweizer, whose statements were featured through the report, said that "shortly after the earthquake took place, the US Ambassador in Haiti sent a cable to the State Department, the title of which was 'The Gold Rush Is On,' and the memo was about the fact that businessmen and companies were showing up in Haiti trying to seek lucrative contracts." Baier added that "it was the job of IHRC to oversee this process, and to ensure no one took advantage of the situation."

Schweizer then stated "a number of members of the IHRC actually wrote a letter expressing their concerns that they were not involved in the process, that all of the decisions were being made by Clinton and Bellerive. In addition, they claimed and argued that the national priorities of Haiti were basically being totally disregarded by the decisions that were being made."

Baier characterized the situation as one where "many projects were initiated, often with US money and a connection to the Clintons."

He then gave examples, such as the Haitian Mobile Money Initiative, where a system was created to transfer money via cell phones, the exploitation of Haiti's mineral deposits for money, and the Caracol Industrial Park. Baier then added that "so many of these deals seem to come back, at some point, to the Clintons," which was echoed by Schweizer's declaration that "what quickly became apparent to many people, was that if you wanted a contract, if you wanted to do business in Haiti, you had to have relationships with a Clinton."

Baier then reported that the company that made over \$50 million in revenue in Haiti, according to Schweizer, off the Haitian Mobile Money Initiative, Digicel, "is run by Irish billionaire Denis O'Brien, who set up lucrative speeches for Bill Clinton, as well as donating millions of his own money to the Clinton Foundation."

He continued, "one company that got a rare gold permit was VCS Mining, which didn't actually have much mining experience, but would soon have Hillary Clinton's brother, Tony Rodham, on its board." And that the anchor tenant of the Caracol Industrial Park "is a Korean manufacturer, which supplies to major US retailers, who also happen to be big Clinton supporters. And while the State Department promised 65,000 jobs, to date, only 5,000 have been delivered."

Brazil-Haiti

The Clinton Foundation lists the Brazilian construction firm OAS and the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) as donors that have given it between \$1 million and \$5 million. Those relationships are worth learning more about.

*OAS has been in the news because it is caught up in a corruption scandal centered on Brazil's state-owned oil company, **Petrobras**. In November Brazilian police arrested three top OAS executives for their alleged roles in a bribery scheme involving inflated contracts and kickbacks. OAS denies the allegations. Closer to home the 2013 OAS donation to the Clinton Foundation deserves attention because of the power that Bill Clinton has in Haiti, where OAS has been awarded IDB contracts.*

While secretary of state, Hillary Clinton helped pave the way for a Clinton Foundation donor to receive \$10 million for a project to help rebuild earthquake-ravaged Haiti. World Tribune; <http://www.worldtribune.com/report-sec-clinton-helped-jailed-foundation-donor-get-10-million-for-bogus-haiti-project/>

The project turned out to be a scam and the donor turned out to be a felon, according to a report by Judicial Watch.

*Miami businessman Claudio Osorio is now serving a 12-year prison sentence after scamming the government. The Clinton State Department reportedly 'pulled some strings' and got the money for Osorio from the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (**OPIC**), a federal agency that operates under the guidance of the State Department, to build houses in Haiti after the 2010 earthquake, the report said.*

The \$10 million Clinton's State Department helped Osorio get "was supposed to build 500 homes for displaced families in the aftermath of the earthquake. The project never broke ground and Osorio used the money to finance his lavish lifestyle and fund his illicit business ventures," **Judicial Watch** again reported.

"The bigger story is that, despite Osorio's shady history, it appears that the Clinton State Department helped him get \$10 million — which will never be repaid — because he was a Clinton Foundation donor," Judicial Watch said.

This Clinton-Osorio connection was not made public until years after the scammer was sentenced.

After Osorio's 2013 sentencing in Miami, media tied him to the Clintons and President Barack Obama as a campaign donor who held fundraisers at his waterfront home, but the foundation was not mentioned.

Every probable cause affidavit must contain certain things. Of course the defendant(s) must be named. The elements of the crime must have been attained by the defendant(s) actions and there must be a motive. Evidence is always good but not absolutely required for a determination of probable cause. I have shown three or more similar actions that establish a pattern of criminal activities by all three suspects working together as an enterprise, Bill Clinton, Hillary Clinton and Barrack Obama. I have shown specific actions and or inactions that support the presence of the elements of the crimes listed in the beginning of this document and I can demonstrate my expertise in these matters (witness credibility) <http://codeforeblog.com/federal-judge-dilema-duty-or-consequences/>{as well as evidence that support my allegations.

What has been lacking is how the Clintons and Obama are getting the money from their Foundations to their pockets. If this were known it would verify the motive and take it to a higher stage, from speculation to probability. The alleged proliferation or skimming by the Clintons' of Clinton Foundation funds has recently been discovered.

This could be explained by the fact that first the Bill Clinton presidential years and then the Barrack Obama presidential years have been controlling the actions of the Justice Department investigations. Even today the FBI CIA and Intelligence agencies are defying Congress and the Senate's requests for documents to get evidence of Clinton's and Obama Criminal Behavior. But now we have President Trump who has pledged to "drain the swamp" of corruption in Federal politics but even Trump cannot seem to get what's necessary to indict the Clintons or Obama out of his Justice Department..

It has been difficult to find any type of solid information that would shed light on what is common knowledge that the Clintons were somehow pulling money out of their foundation but without investigators from the justice department or the IRS who could get access to financial documents the proof is just not there. We can make a circumstantial case and that's all that's necessary but without the power of a Grand Jury and with the refusal of the Justice Department to investigate, a private citizen has nothing.

Recently an independent financial auditor seems to have accomplished what the IRS, nor the FBI refused to do. The Clintons' and Obama have made mistakes but as long as 'motive' cannot be proven, any criminal case brought against them fights an uphill battle. If the Clintons' are backed into a corner they will protect the lack of proof of a motive to the end.

Charles Ortel

<http://charlesortel.com/>

Charles Ortel is a private investor and writer who has been exposing multinational and nonprofit fraud since 2007, following a career in corporate finance, mergers and acquisitions and investment management. Since early 2015, Charles has been investigating state, federal, and foreign filings of the {Clinton Foundation}

Charles Ortel, who gained recognition for correctly identifying problems with General Electric's financial statements in 2008, has prepared 40 reports highlighting discrepancies that he said proves the Clinton Foundation has covered up cash flow since 1997.

The financial whistleblower {Ortel} said his 15 months of research revealed gaps in the amount of money donors claim to have given and the amount of money the foundation claims to have received.

*The Clinton Foundation network is actually comprised of several different charities that all perform seemingly similar functions. Those include the Clinton Health Access Initiative, the **Clinton Global Initiative**, the **Clinton Climate Initiative** and several more, all with varying degrees of overlapping finances.*

Ortel said the foundations' complex paper trails are littered with mistakes and repeat filings. Link

{<https://www.washingtonexaminer.com/financial-analyst-alleges-major-holes-in-clinton-foundation-records>}

Ortel claims specifically that the individual donations reported received on the Clinton Foundations are less than the donations reported on the individual donors public documents.

Orel, a credible source, claims that money is missing from the Clinton Foundation, or they have deceived the IRS to avoid taxes. Please recall the portion of this document that refers to the difference between a mistake and a intentional action. Logically speaking, the missing money has more likely than not, been electronically transferred to a Clinton off shore bank. A good investigator with subpoena or warrant powers could locate it. There is speculation that the bank in question is located in Qatar. I will refer to Ortel's discoveries as a Motive for the Clinton's aforementioned criminal activities.

It is alleged that the missing Clinton Foundation funds discovered by Ortel indicate the existence of money laundering as described in TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 95. It is alleged that it is likely that the skimmed funds were initiated by William and Hillary Clinton as the principals of the Clinton Foundation.

Charles Ortel outlined the vast possible extent of the Clintons' illegal activities.

"I started focusing on this in February of 2015," Ortel explained. "It took me about several weeks to figure out that this is the largest unprosecuted fraud in world history. By my calculations, the declared incoming amounts of the Clinton Foundations are about \$2.3 billion, but when you consider all the affiliates around the world, it runs in the many hundreds of billions of dollars."

"My training is in banking and investment analysis," Ortel stressed. "Under US law, a charity like this has to produce audited books that account and comply with auditing standards that are very strict. Anyone with a modicum of training can see that there is no legally compliant audit [of the Clinton Foundation] over a twenty year period."

Therefore, in Ortel's view, "the real question here is not 'Is it a fraud?' Of course it's a fraud! The questions are: Why did so many governments around the world let this fraud go on for so long? And why didn't [the FBI] see this fraud [in 2001-2005, when it was investigating the Foundation]? Why did they let it escalate? Those are the big questions in my mind."

The first time the Clinton Foundation was investigated, between 2001 and 2005, then-FBI Director Robert Mueller, then-Deputy Attorney General James Comey, and others could not seem to find obvious and escalating frauds as a supposed presidential library complex in Little Rock, Arkansas, also "fought HIV/AIDS internationally" from unregistered offices in New York and Massachusetts without ever obtaining required audits of worldwide activities.

Strangely, as the first investigation wound down, evidence in the public domain suggests that the Clinton Foundation also defrauded the National Archivist by making demonstrably false representations in a binding legal agreement.

For example, there is no evidence the IRS provided final approval to the Clinton Foundation to "fight HIV/AIDS internationally" as a tax-exempt purpose by Nov. 18, 2004, the date the presidential archive was officially donated.

That Nov. 18, 2004, agreement is nowhere to be found today on the Clinton Foundation website and in public filings despite the charity's more than 13 years of widespread solicitation across state and national boundaries using telephones, mail, and the internet.

The next major investigation started in December 2009 when the French government launched a detailed look into UNITAID, a multilateral international organization — primarily funded by France — that has sent more than \$650 million to arms of the Clinton Foundation engaged, at least in theory, in fighting HIV and AIDS.

Reports concerning this investigation, written in French and published in 2010 and 2011, show that French government authorities, like their U.S. counterparts, missed the heart of the problem posed by the Clinton Foundation.

The foundation, by its own description, started soliciting funding for its fight against HIV and AIDS early in 2002, though its authorized charitable status didn't change until March 2004, after the Clinton Foundation HIV/AIDS Initiative Inc. was officially recognized on March 24, 2004, in Arkansas.

Applications made to the IRS, to various states and to foreign governments for tax exemption and solicitation rights to pursue this radically different mission, are not available on the central portal operated by the Clinton Foundation, nor forthcoming, yet, from the governments concerned.

Federal tax filings for this entity for the partial year in 2004 and for 2005 aren't available on the Clinton Foundation website, perhaps because they show substantial activities that seem to fall far outside tax-exempt purposes approved by the IRS.

In addition, these and other tax filings fail to explain payments to members of the Clinton family for services received and for reimbursement of expenses by donors to the Clinton Foundation.

Even though there is no public record that the Clinton Foundation ever was authorized to control a supposed charity "fighting HIV/AIDS internationally," the Clinton Foundation HIV/AIDS Initiative Inc. was supposedly liquidated as of Dec. 31, 2005, with all of its worldwide activities and obligations supposedly taken over by the parent foundation. There is no evidence in the public domain that the merger was lawfully completed in each U.S. state and foreign country in which either entity operated.

'Until recently, the Clinton Foundation has been monitored by the IRS, the Department of Justice, and the FBI, and multiple state government authorities that are seeded with persons loyal to either the Clintons or the Obamas.'

But now it appears key authorities may finally be turning strict attention toward answering tough questions about public filings of Clinton "charities" inside and outside the United States. When these powerful organizations engage motivated minds, they will wish to concentrate on a few areas that have long gone begging for attention. Link {Sputnik International {<https://sputniknews.com/interviews/201801061060560083-clinton-foundation-probe-analysis/>}

Although Ortel makes a compelling case of fraud against the Clintons, these charges would most likely go by the wayside if charges of Murder and RICO violations were to go before a Jury and he has not offered up any allegations against Obama.

PROBABLE CAUSE

As a retired detective who has submitted many criminal probable cause affidavits to prosecutors for presentation to the courts, I have never had one rejected. I am confident that if I were working the Police Investigator job today the information I have documented and the presentation of events in logical order would be accepted and approved by a criminal court. Admittedly I have never filed an affidavit alleging crimes of this magnitude but it's like riding a small bicycle and then riding a large bicycle. They require the same skills. Of course the ultimate decision as to whether or not probable cause exists is entirely decided by the judge that is asked to rule on the affidavit. There are no guarantees.

It's interesting that most of the evidence that the FBI is using in the many investigations going on now has been provided to them by internet private researchers, whistle blowers and independent investigative journalists. Is as if the public is saying we want this task done and if you don't do it we will, and then the private investigation results are shoved down the throat of the Department of Justice. It's the FBI that has the power to ask for and get search warrants and the IRS doesn't even need a warrant yet the Federal Justice Department has been mute and the Clintons and Obama have not missed a breath in their ongoing corruption.

PART I - CRIMES

CHAPTER 96 - RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS (RICO Act)

§1962. Prohibited activities

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person who has received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code, to use or invest, directly or indirectly, any part of such income, or the proceeds of such income, in acquisition of any interest in, or the establishment or operation of, any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce. A purchase of securities on the open market for purposes of investment, and without the intention of controlling or participating in the control of the issuer, or of assisting another to do so, shall not be unlawful under this subsection if the securities of the issuer held by the purchaser, the members of his immediate family, and his or their accomplices in any pattern or racketeering activity or the collection of an unlawful debt after such purchase do not amount in the aggregate to one percent of the outstanding securities of any one class, and do not confer, either in law or in fact, the power to elect one or more directors of the issuer.

(b) It shall be unlawful for any person through a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt to acquire or maintain, directly or indirectly, any interest in or control of any enterprise which is engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce.

(c) It shall be unlawful for any person employed by or associated with any enterprise engaged in, or the activities of which affect, interstate or foreign commerce, to conduct or participate, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of such enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity or collection of unlawful debt.

(d) It shall be unlawful for any person to conspire to violate any of the provisions of subsection (a), (b), or (c) of this section.

It is alleged that during the Presidential term of President William Jefferson Clinton that from January 1993 to January 2001 President William Jefferson Clinton did use his position as President of the United States of America, for personal gain. He did assist a known terrorist (Laurent Kabila) to forcibly overthrow the legal Government of the Democratic Republic of Congo and assume the control of said Democratic Republic of Congo. Herein referred to as the Congo.

It is alleged that President Clinton's support of Kabila's forceful takeover of the Congo was on behalf of the United States of America and that Clinton used the resources of the United States of America (in the form of money and weapons) to propel Laurent Kabila's takeover of the Congo and that this support by Clinton was (while under the color of law), contingent on Kabila's agreement to release mining rights to the natural minerals located within the Congo to private entities .

It is also alleged that these private entities, one being A Swedish mining and oil company, the Lundin Group based in Vancouver Canada, paid Kabila 50 million dollars and President Clintons personal foundation known as 'The Clinton Foundation' in excess of 100 million dollars and it was later discovered via Secretary of State Hillary Clintons emails that Kabila paid President Clinton personally in excess of \$650,000 as a one time speaking fee.

It is also alleged that President Clinton then used his position to bestow the power and authorization to his Secretary of State to determine the origin of diamonds that were imported into the United States. Additionally it is alleged that President Clinton was then in a position to authorize the importation of diamonds from the Congo by simply stating in a certificate from his Secretary of State that the Congo diamonds did not come from the Congo. It is noted that at this time the importation of diamonds originating from the Congo was illegal in the United States.

It is additionally alleged that an associate of President Clinton Lukas Lundin who owned the Lundin Group, (a Canadian mining company) in 2007 donated 100 million dollars to the Clinton Giustra Sustainable Growth Initiative (a subsidiary of the Clinton Foundation) and also paid 50 million dollars to Laurent Kabila, presumably in return for access to diamond mining in the Congo that had been arranged by President Clinton.

It is alleged that at some point thereafter, President Clinton received either personally or via criminal enterprises ,money transfers (skimming){as described in Laundering Control Act 1986} or other financial benefits from the Clinton Foundation who was the recipient of the 100 million dollars donated by the Lundin Group and that this skimmed money was available personally to William Clinton and is untraceable due to the alleged under reporting of donations made to the Clinton Foundation.

It's alleged that this pattern of criminal activity is in violation of the RICO Act and that any and all tax free foundations connected to William Jefferson Clinton or his wife Hillary Clinton are "Criminal Enterprises as defined in the RICO Act, and that these Enterprises exist for the specific purpose of receiving funds from other Criminal and non criminal enterprises and then distributed the funds or other valuable items or services directly or indirectly to William and Hillary Clinton.

It is alleged that an informal criminal relationship between the 'Clintons' and Barack Obama was created during and prior to the election of Barack Obama as President of the United States in 2007. This was evidenced by the immediate endorsement of the brutal Congo Congolese Marxist warlord Laurent Kabila by Hillary Clinton on behalf of the United States followed by an additional payment of 250 million dollars to Laurent Kabila by the Lundin Group when they (Lundin) Kabila assumed physical control of the Congo when Lundin received mining rights. The Lundin Group continued with significant payments to the Clinton Foundation and then Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State assumed the position of issuing "clean diamond certificates" allowing the importation of diamonds into the United States.

It is alleged that similar nearly identical criminal activity occurred during the Presidency of Barack Obama who enabled the Clinton Enterprises to flourish by appointing Hillary Clinton as United States Secretary of State and in furtherance of the Clinton's enterprise, prevented and or controlled the United States Justice Department from investigating similar criminal activity described as the participation of the overthrowing of 'third world' governments by force and installing dictators who were 'friendly' to the Clintons and facilitated their quest for private access to natural resources and minerals in these respective countries. It's important to note that President Obama knew of the Clinton's criminal activities in advance of the appointment of Hillary Clinton as Secretary of State, and in an attempt to make it appear as if he (Obama) was isolated from these soon to occur activities, presented an agreement between him (as President) and Hillary Clinton that stated that Clinton would not engage in activities that involved the Clinton Foundations as Secretary of State yet he took no action to stop or prevent these actions by Clinton when they occurred.

It is alleged that these actions and inactions by President Obama represent his co-operation and personal involvement with the Clintons' enterprise and their aforementioned money laundering schemes that eventually resulted in the murders of four American Citizens overseas.

It's also very important to note that Barack Obama is familiar with tax free organizations and had a history of working with tax free Foundations when he lived in Chicago and worked as a community organizer for the 'Developing Communities Project' in 1984. Link {<https://www.nytimes.com/2008/07/07/us/politics/07community.html>}

It's alleged that additional attempts to overthrow third world governments have been identified and that President Obama's and Secretary of state Hillary Clinton's motives were the same as in the Congo incident and that motive was to bribe the new heads of government to release access to natural resources to private entities. One such country was Libya and the overthrow of Muammar Mohammed Abu Minyar Gaddafi.

And also similar to the Congo insurrection, the choice of Abdelhakim Belhadj to replace Gaddafi was a threat to the United States. The big difference between Kabila in the Congo and Belhadj is that Belhadj was known to be a terrorist. Belhadj, a seasoned revolutionist fought with Osama Bin Laden in Afghanistan, was a jihadist and controlled ISIS in Libya.

It is also alleged that Obama and Hillary Clinton secretly schemed to achieve the control of Libya's resources by private enterprises without Congressional knowledge, nor Department of Justice knowledge, nor public knowledge.

It's alleged that as in the Congo case, Hillary Clinton, on behalf of the United States, formally backed Belhadj and had planned to supply Belhadj with weapons to be used in his revolution against Gaddafi.

It's alleged that to facilitate the indebtedness to the Clintons and Obama by Belhadj for the support of the United States, weapons would be shipped, (in violation of US Arms Export Control Act) to Libya via Qatar who had previously shipped French arms to Libya. In return Belhadj would grant private access to the rich oil fields in Libya. It's alleged that Hillary Clinton's choice to take advantage of access to the oilfields in Libya was Unaoil.

It's alleged that The Clinton Enterprise wanted the overthrow of Muammar Gaddafi for several reasons.

First Muammar Gaddafi was a rogue and sought the financial independence of Libya from the world economy. Evidence of Gaddafi's desire for independence was well known. Gerald Pereira, an executive board member of the former Tripoli-based World Mathaba claimed that Gaddhafi's creation of the African Investment Bank in Sirte (Libya) and the African Monetary Fund was to be based in Cameroon and would supplant the IMF and undermine Western economic hegemony in Africa. Additionally Gaddafi had enough gold reserves to create a Gold backed Libyan monetary system. It's likely that the last thing Muammar Gaddafi would be interested in was to entertain proposals by the Clinton enterprise to release Libyan natural resources to private enterprises.

Second France had been supporting the rebels in Libya and it appeared that Gaddafi could survive the attempt by French backed rebels to overthrow him.

Third, it's alleged that Obama and Hillary Clinton had arranged for the private takeover of all of Libya's resources with Unaoil, Lafarge and Belhadj. It is likely that Obama and Clinton viewed the takeover to be complete before the upcoming 2016 election. Should Hillary Clinton lose the election, their plan would be mute.

Unaoil, a Monaco based company run by the Ahsani family founded in 1991 was well known for bribing third world governments for access to natural resources as reported by Huffpost .

Link; <https://www.theage.com.au/interactive/2016/the-bribe-factory/day-1/the-company-that-bribed-the-world.html>

Among Unaoil's corrupt insiders was the powerful Libyan official, Mustafa Zarti, a confidant for the Gaddafi regime. Unaoil's files describe Zarti as "good friends of Colonel Ghadafi's son and had a lot of influence in lobbying the jobs in Libya". Unaoil agreed to secretly pay Zarti millions of dollars. In return he would use his influence to advantage Unaoil's clients. It's alleged that Zarti was unsuccessful. According to the Huffpost article Zarti was planning to execute Gaddafi to gain access to Libyan rich oil fields.

It's alleged that Secretary of State Hillary Clinton preferred not to wait for Gaddafi's execution and instead preferred to overthrow Gaddafi. In this way Obama and Secretary Clinton had control over who would replace Gaddafi.

It's alleged that President Obama approved of Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's hiring of former Unaoil executive Muhannad Alamir. Its unknown what Alamir 's title was as a member of the State Department. However it's alleged that it was Alamir who introduced Unaoil as a source to broker the expected privatization of Libyan natural resources. It was also Alamir who introduced and or encouraged Hillary Clinton to use the Blue Mountain Security firm to provide security for the Benghazi outpost. Blue Mountain did not have the necessary permits/licenses in place to perform security duties in Libya. The necessary documents were provided to Blue Mountain by Alamir's companies Eclipse and Xpand.

Although Eclipse was Alamir's main company, he created a new entity, which he called Blue Mountain Libya, to partner with Blue Mountain Group on the Benghazi contract, he told HuffPost. He said he started another company, Xpand, with investors he knew in Jordan and used it to fund Blue Mountain Libya. There's no publicly available evidence that the State Department ever vetted Alamir or his companies.

It's alleged that Alamir hand picked local Benghazi residents as Blue Mountain security to guard the Benghazi outpost.

It's alleged that Hillary Clinton and Barrack Obama put together several companies, (similar to the actions taken by President Clinton in the Congo incident) to facilitate the shipment of weapons to the Rebels in Libya and to facilitate the exploitation of Libyan natural resources.

According to a Breibart article, as Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton was on the Board of Directors of Lafarge North America for a short time (1990 – 1992) and left it almost 25 years ago," the company stated.

Breibart claims that Lafarge {a French company } was accused of sending payments to ISIS and that Hillary Clinton served as a board member of the company, and that the company partnered with the Clinton Global Initiative as recently as 2014. Financial records show that the company donated between \$50,000 and \$100,000 to the Clinton Foundation, according to the Donald Trump campaign.

It's alleged that Qbama and Clinton secretly conspired to use Lafarge {the world's leader in construction materials} to assist in supplying weapons to the Libyan rebels by transporting said weapons across the Qatar boarder and into Libya. Additionally Lefarge who had been selling concrete in Libya, *paid close to 13 million euros (Dh56m) to armed groups including ISIL militants to keep operating in Syria from 2011-2015, human rights lawyers said on Tuesday.*

They were speaking at a news conference on the course of French prosecutors' preliminary inquiry into Lafarge's operations launched in June on suspicion of "financing of a terrorist enterprise".

The lawyers for rights group Sherpa said a large part of the money went directly or indirectly into the pockets of ISIL and that payments lasted until well after the closure of Lafarge's Jalabiya plant in September 2014. Link {<https://www.thenational.ae/lafarge-paid-13m-euros-to-armed-groups-to-keep-operating-in-syria-rights-groups-say-1.684061>}

In Syria, Lafarge saw a new opening to the Middle East. After a three-year renovation of the €680 million plant, Lafarge Cement Syria opened in October 2010, employing hundreds of people and generating thousands of related jobs. Trucks and vans crisscrossed the desert, transporting employees, delivering Lafarge cement and bringing in fuel and raw materials from nearby quarries. {New York Times} Link { <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/03/10/business/isis-is-coming-how-a-french-company-pushed-the-limits-in-war-torn-syria.html>}

In addition Lafarge had previous experience in this type of operation as they had funneled weapons into the hands of Saddam Hussein and Syrian rebels.

While other multinational companies pulled out of Syria in the midst of the civil war, Lafarge made a calculated decision to stay, pushing the limits of international law to keep its operations running. Lafarge's actions, reconstructed from sealed French court documents reviewed by The New York Times as well as interviews with former employees, provide rare insight into the costs and complexities of doing business in a war-torn country, trade-offs that have left a large company exposed to a French criminal investigation as well as a civil lawsuit.

To move supplies and employees through dangerous areas, and to secure raw materials, Lafarge funneled money to intermediaries who conducted negotiations with the Islamic State, as well as Al Qaeda's affiliate in Syria and other armed factions. All told, Lafarge agents shelled out over \$5 million to armed groups, according to the documents, which include testimony to investigators by former Lafarge officials, testimony and witness accounts of former employees, company correspondence and a confidential internal review of Lafarge's Syria operations by the global law firm Baker McKenzie. The broad accounts of the former workers' experience were backed up by the documents, including the executives' and employees' testimony. {New York Times}

The French eventually put Lafarge on trial for violating French and International arms transport laws. According to the French court, "Lafarge acted as if it was above the law," said Marie-Laure Guislain, the head of litigation at Sherpa. "But it played a role in an armed conflict, as well as in the violation of human rights, and must be held accountable."

According to another Breitbart article, The Canary quotes an American Spectator article from 1996 reporting that Lafarge helped funnel weapons to Saddam Hussein during President Clinton's tenure:

"provided key services for the covert arms export network that supplied Saddam Hussein. To prevent exposure of that secret supply line, and collateral damage to Hillary Clinton – who joined Lafarge board in 1990, just as the arms pipeline was being shut down... the Justice Department was told to bury the investigation... But investigators from other US government agencies who worked on the case say they were 'waved off' whenever they got too close to exposing the direct involvement of the intelligence community in the arms export scheme."

It's alleged that in return for their cooperation in Libya Obama and Clinton would see to it that Lafarge had private access to mining operations, construction supplies and selling houses built by Lafarge in Libya.

It should be noted that apart from being a regular donor to the Clinton Foundation, Clinton herself was a director of Lafarge in the early 1990s, and did legal work for the firm in the 1980s," The Canary reported on July 29.

It is alleged that Barrack Obama ,Hillary Clinton, and William Clinton Conducted violations of The Federal RICO act and that Obama, Hillary Clinton, William Clinton, The Clinton Foundation, The Clinton Global Initiative Foundation and other associates comprised an Enterprise as described in 18 U.S. Code § 1961 –(2)

It is alleged that Barrack Obama , Hillary Clinton, and William Clinton engaged in criminal activities as described in 18 U.S. Code § 1961 – Definitions (1)

It is alleged that Barrack Obama, Hillary Clinton, William Jefferson, and all aforementioned Clinton Foundations engaged in a pattern of criminal activity as described in 18 U.S. Code § 1961 – Definitions

Specifically,

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person who has received any income derived, directly or indirectly, from a pattern of racketeering activity or through collection of an unlawful debt in which such person has participated as a principal within the meaning of section 2, title 18, United States Code,

Specifically TITLE 18 > PART I > CHAPTER 95 > § 1956 § 1956. Laundering of monetary instruments

Whoever, knowing that the property involved in a financial transaction represents the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, conducts or attempts to conduct such a financial transaction which in fact involves the proceeds of specified unlawful activity—

(A)

(i) with the intent to promote the carrying on of specified unlawful activity; or

(ii) with intent to engage in conduct constituting a violation of section 7201 or 7206 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; or

(B) knowing that the transaction is designed in whole or in part—

(i) to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity; or

(ii) to avoid a transaction reporting requirement under State or Federal law

It is alleged that William Jefferson Clinton, Hillary Clinton and Barrak Obama have committed the act of Federal Murder as described in 18 U.S.C. § 1111 - U.S. Code – Un-annotated Title 18. Crimes and Criminal Procedure § 1111. Murder and or;

a) Murder is the unlawful killing of a human being with malice aforethought. Every murder perpetrated by poison, lying in wait, or any other kind of willful, deliberate, malicious, and premeditated killing; or committed in the perpetration of, or attempt to perpetrate, any arson, escape, murder, kidnapping, treason, espionage, sabotage, aggravated sexual abuse or sexual abuse, child abuse, burglary, or robbery; or perpetrated as part of a pattern or practice of assault or torture against a child or children; or perpetrated from a premeditated design unlawfully and maliciously to effect the death of any human being other than him who is killed, is murder in the first degree.

Any other murder is murder in the second degree.

(b) Within the special maritime and territorial jurisdiction of the United States,

Whoever is guilty of murder in the first degree shall be punished by death or by imprisonment for life;

Whoever is guilty of murder in the second degree, shall be imprisoned for any term of years or for life.

(c) For purposes of this section—

(1) the term “assault” has the same meaning as given that term in section 113 ;

(2) the term “child” means a person who has not attained the age of 18 years and is--

(A) under the perpetrator's care or control; or

(B) at least six years younger than the perpetrator;

(3) the term “child abuse” means intentionally or knowingly causing death or serious bodily injury to a child;

(4) the term “pattern or practice of assault or torture” means assault or torture engaged in on at least two occasions;

(5) the term “serious bodily injury” has the meaning set forth in section 1365 ; and

(6) the term "torture" means conduct, whether or not committed under the color of law, that otherwise satisfies the definition set forth in section 2340(1) .

Specifically: 18 U.S.C. § 1114 - U.S. Code – Un-annotated Title 18. Crimes and Criminal Procedure § 1114. Protection of officers and employees of the United States:

Whoever kills or attempts to kill any officer or employee of the United States or of any agency in any branch of the United States Government (including any member of the uniformed services) while such officer or employee is engaged in or on account of the performance of official duties, or any person assisting such an officer or employee in the performance of such duties or on account of that assistance, shall be punished--

- (1) in the case of murder, as provided under section 1111 ;
- (2) in the case of manslaughter, as provided under section 1112 ; or
- (3) in the case of attempted murder or manslaughter, as provided in section 1113 .

Specifically: 18 U.S.C. § 1117 - U.S. Code – Un-annotated Title 18. Crimes and Criminal Procedure § 1117. Conspiracy to murder:

If two or more persons conspire to violate section 1111 , 1114 , 1116 , or 1119 of this title, and one or more of such persons do any overt act to effect the object of the conspiracy, each shall be punished by imprisonment for any term of years or for life.

Specifically: 18 U.S.C. § 1119 - U.S. Code – Un-annotated Title 18. Crimes and Criminal Procedure § 1119. Foreign murder of United States nationals;

- (a) Definition. --In this section, "national of the United States" has the meaning stated in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)).
- (b) Offense. --A person who, being a national of the United States, kills or attempts to kill a national of the United States while such national is outside the United States but within the jurisdiction of another country shall be punished as provided under sections 1111 , 1112 , and 1113 .

Specifically: 18 U.S.C. 96 United States Code, 2009 Edition, Title 18 - CRIMES AND CRIMINAL PROCEDURE

Despite the fact that the US Congress intelligence committee's approval of the secret arms operation "No Footprint" they were unaware of the conspiracy by Obama and Clinton to keep the United States out of the business of Benghazi, specifically their plans to personally benefit from the change of power from Gaddafi to Belhadj.

It is alleged that Obama and Clinton had assembled this Libya security group without the knowledge of the Congress, nor the FBI, nor the CIA. Obama used the office of the President to insure that certain paperwork was in place and protected Hillary by keeping investigative agencies and the general public at bay. The opportunity to gain access to the rich oil fields in Libya had been created and all that was left was to insure that Abdelhakim Belhadj assumed power in Libya. The weapons were being shipped into Belhadj's hands by Qatar and Hillary Clinton's associates and they were confident that Hillary Clinton would become the next president of the United States. This election would insure that the Libyan Clinton and Obama enterprise could continue. But why the lax security that threatened the Benghazi outpost?

The former US Ambassador to Syria {Ford} had been ordered back to the United States because of intelligence reports that Syria and Libya had become dangerous for Americans and had resigned his position when he learned what Obama and Clinton were doing.

Obama and Clinton had recruited Chris Stevens . Stevens, 52, went to Benghazi in April 2011 on a Greek cargo ship. He was part of a team of a dozen U.S. diplomats and guards who went into Libya to establish a diplomatic presence while the fight to topple Moammar Gaddafi was still raging. Officially it was his job to be "the eyes and ears for policy makers trying to gauge how to respond to the rebellion under way," says Flavia Krause-Jackson at Bloomberg, "and avert a massacre in that city by Gaddafi forces." But its alleged that Stevens had additional responsibilities.

According to information cited by Klein, Stevens served less as a diplomat and more as an arms dealer and intelligence coordinator for assistance to the so-called Arab Spring, with particular emphasis on the Syrian rebels.

As was widely reported, Stevens originally arrived in Libya during the revolution aboard a Greek cargo ship carrying equipment and vehicles. His original task in Libya was to serve as the main interlocutor between the Obama administration and the rebels based in Benghazi. Stevens never abandoned that role, even after becoming ambassador, according to Klein.

Indeed, the New York Times reported in December 2012 that Stevens himself facilitated an application to the State Department for the sale of weapons filed by one Marc Turi, whom the Times' describes as an "American arms merchant who had sought to provide weapons to Libya." Global Research Link

The Times reported Turi's first application was rejected in March 2011 but was approved two months later after he stated "only that he planned to ship arms worth more than \$200 million to Qatar." Qatar was Turkey's partner in aiding the Syrian rebels. { <https://www.globalresearch.ca/cia-ops-finally-revealed-what-the-us-ambassador-in-benghazi-was-really-doing/5483957>}

Obama, Clinton and Stevens were three of only a few in Washington who knew Chris Stevens schedule but it appears that this schedule was known to the Benghazi attackers. It has been documented by witnesses who were there during the Benghazi attack that Blue Mountain guards enjoined the attackers and it's likely that Blue Mountain was provided Chris Stevens schedule by none other than Secretary of State Hillary Clinton who likely provided the information to her employee Muhannad Alamir who was also the owner of Blue Mountain Group.

Investigative logic tells us that it is possible that Qatar and Libyan rebel Belhadj had double crossed Obama and decided to take Libya themselves. But that would not explain the intentional lack of security created at the outpost by Obama and Clinton. It's alleged that more likely explanation would be that Obama and Clinton did not want any US interference in the proliferation of Libyan oil and this would explain the secrecy from the CIA and Congress. That would mean there could be no immediate formal diplomatic relationship between Libya and the United States. Once it became clear that Gaddafi had been killed, it would be fairly easy to destroy the outpost. {and it was} Steven's and everyone else there would become collateral damage in the quest for natural resources.

Later it was learned that on October 18th, 2012 *General David Rodriguez replaced General Carter Ham as commander of U.S. Africa Command. It's alleged that that General Ham as head of AFRICOM received the same e-mails the White House received requesting help/support as the attack on Benghazi was taking place. General Ham immediately had a rapid response unit ready and communicated to the Pentagon that he had a unit ready.*

General Ham then received the order to stand down {initiated from President Obama}. His response was to "screw it", he was going to help anyhow. Within 30 seconds to a minute after making the move to respond, his second in command apprehended General Ham and told him that he was now relieved of his command.

Both Ham and Gaouette reported receiving the same desperate cables for additional security and backup that Obama administration officials received and ignored from Benghazi. They did not ignore those desperate calls for help ringing out from the Benghazi installation on 11 September 2012.

Both Ham and Gaouette attempted to launch ready response teams in the region capable of provided the much needed assistance during the seven hour long assault on Benghazi. Both were immediately relieved of command for their actions, described by the US Military as "allegations of inappropriate leadership judgment."

General Ham immediately had a rapid response unit ready and communicated to the Pentagon that he had a unit ready to deploy to Benghazi. Then, General Ham received the order to stand down. His response was "screw it," - he was going to help anyway.

Within minutes after issuing an order to deploy his ready response team, Ham's second in command apprehended the General and told him that he was now relieved of his command. Ham knows who issued the order to STAND DOWN as well as the order to relieve him of his command at AFRICOM.

Adm. Gaouette had also received the startling requests for support as the attack unfolded in Benghazi. Like Ham, he readied a response from Carrier Strike Group Three (CSG-3). Gaouette was also ordered to STAND DOWN and like Ham, he decided to refuse those orders. Gaouette readied vital intelligence and communications operations for an extraction effort to be launched by Ham.

According to a Washington Times report It is alleged by An al Qaeda terrorist stated in a recent online posting that U.S. Ambassador to Libya J. Christopher Stevens was killed by lethal injection after plans to kidnap him during the Sept. 11 attacks in Benghazi went bad. Link (<https://www.washingtontimes.com/blog/robbins-report/2012/oct/28/general-losing-his-job-over-benghazi/>)

An FBI spokeswoman indicated that the bureau is aware of the claim but declined to comment because of the ongoing investigation into the Benghazi attacks. Link. <https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2013/jun/4/al-qaeda-weapons-expert-us-ambassador-libya-killed/>

It's alleged that Ham was relieved of his command before he could issue rescue orders. It's believed that these aforementioned actions and inactions by President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton effected their complicity with the Rebels in the Benghazi attack resulting Murder of four Americans .

It's alleged that there was an defense/escape plan/procedure set up at the Benghazi outpost and this plan included instructions that in the event that the outpost is over run, the civilian occupants would be led to a "safe room" located within the outpost. This outpost had a window with bars that that was operational only from the inside of the safe room. It is alleged that there would be a vehicle parked in the alley outside next to the window to be used for an escape. It is alleged that there was no vehicle in place for an escape. Link. {<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fUBtTC7R89w>}

It is alleged that these actions facilitated by President Obama and Hillary Clinton to prevent an attempt to rescue the American occupants trapped in the Benghazi outpost was an intentional act to allow the occupants to be murdered. It is also alleged that President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton conspired, in advance of the Benghazi attack, to provide incompetent security of the outpost that created a vulnerable outpost, available to attack by the enemies of the United States . General Ham was head of AFRICOM and Commander of the 2011 US-NATO operation to depose Gaddafi in Libya. Rear Admiral Charles M. Gaouette was in command of the Carrier Strike Group Three (CSG-3), then deployed in Middle Eastern waters during the attack on Benghazi.

It becomes apparent that the whole scenario from the time that Stevens was sent to Benghazi until he and others were murdered was a carefully planned sinister series of events. There were only a select few persons in Washington who knew Chris Stevens schedule and thus the exact day he would be at the Benghazi outpost. It's also logical to assume that this series of events could only be successfully accomplished if there were certain controls in place.

1. The security of the Benghazi outpost.
2. The persons responsible for the security
3. The assistance of the Libyan rebels
4. Secrecy
5. Control of the military.
6. Lack of CIA involvement
7. Weapon supply to the Rebels.

It's alleged that President Obama and Secretary of State Hillary Clinton were responsible for all of these controls. It's alleged that no other persons other than President Obama and Hillary Clinton had these necessary controls.

It's also alleged that President Obama's and Hillary Clinton's motive was to secure the overthrow of Gaddafi and install a new friendly to Clinton power in Libya. A power that would allow the proliferation of Libyan natural resources by private enterprise and possibly possession of significant amounts of gold bullion. (never recovered)

It's also apparent that the whole Benghazi scenario is similar to the aforementioned previous Hillary and William Clinton and or Obama involvements in securing natural resources for private proliferation in the Congo, Syria, and Haiti and then awarding certain contracts to Enterprises who either donated millions of dollars to one or more of the Clinton Foundations or paying money directly to Hillary Clinton's husband William Clinton'

It's alleged that the logical progression of events resulting from the proliferation of natural resources of third world oil, mineral and other natural resources is as follows and that in the case of Libya, the murder of four American citizens became a necessity to facilitate and complete this progression of events.

- A. Either bribe those in control of the natural resources or overthrow those in control of natural resources with hand picked persons who would comply.
- B. Arrange certain criminal enterprises to receive the natural resources
- C. Arrange for funds to be deposited either in foreign banks or Clinton Foundations in return for (B) above.
- D. 'Launder' these funds either by skimming funds from the Clinton Foundations or other means.
- E. Assume personal possession of these funds either directly or indirectly.

It is also alleged that there is another incident wherein the above progression of events was partially followed by President Obama and Hillary Clinton in the proliferation of natural resources in the United States. This was not mentioned earlier but is another example of the teamwork between President Obama and Hillary Clinton. This incident would be the proliferation of United States Uranium that ultimately ended up in the hands of Russia after via several foreign United States enterprises.

James D Benish

Annonmamba@protonmail.com